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## Preface

The study of toric varieties, often referred to as toric geometry, goes back to 1970, when the first formal definition of a toric variety by Demazure appeared in [Dem70]. In the following years, toric varieties appeared in publications in different areas, but a work solely dedicated to toric geometry had yet to be written. This happened in 1973 independently in the book [KKMS73] by Kempf, Knudsen, Mumford and Saint-Donat and in the article [MO75] by Oda and Miyake, presented in 1973 but not published until 1975. In the introduction to [KKMS73], Mumford already notices that toric varieties provide a very useful source of examples of algebraic varieties. Apart from applications of toric varieties in recent theoretical physics (see for example [CK99]), this is a key importance of toric varieties. They provide examples of algebraic varieties that allow concrete computations due to their combinatorial nature and therefore serve as a testing ground for theories. The research on toric varieties peaked in 1978, when Danilov published [Dan78], which is a detailed introduction to toric geometry that surveys the results made by others, but also introduces a lot of new ideas to the topic. Another important work appeared in 1993, when Fulton condensed his lectures on toric varieties into the book [Ful93]. In 2011, a very modern treatment of toric varieties was published by Cox, Little and Schenck in their book [CLS11], which brings the research of 40 years together into a great book, giving a detailed account on the historical development of the field as well.

The main topic of this thesis goes back to [Ehl75], an article by Ehlers on toric varieties from a time before the term "toric variety" has been established. Ehlers uses a mathematical language very unfamiliar from a modern point of view, as he discusses toric varieties as complex manifolds, missing the algebro-geometric aspects. In his article, Ehlers finds a linear basis of the homology groups $H_{*}\left(X_{\Sigma}\right)$ of certain toric varieties $X_{\Sigma}$. This result reappears in [Dan78] in a more standard language. Danilov proves the linear
basis for homology groups of smooth projective toric varieties. By Poincaré duality, this yields a linear basis for the cohomology ring $H^{*}\left(X_{\Sigma}\right)$, which is in turn isomorphic to the combinatorial Chow ring $\mathcal{R}(\Sigma)$ of the underlying polyhedral fan. This ring, directly associated to the underlying fan, is the object of interest in this thesis. Our goal was to prove the linear basis of $\mathcal{R}(\Sigma)$, obtained using algebro-geometric methods by Danilov, by directly looking at the combinatorial Chow ring. This would yield a new proof of the basis theorem using algebraic combinatorics to discuss the combinatorial Chow ring, instead of algebraic geometry to discuss the homology of the associated variety. A first step in this direction was made in [Ful93], where Fulton decouples a combinatorial condition of the fan from the projectivity of the variety. He noticed that the necessary combinatorial condition holds in the projective case, but projectivity is not necessary to prove the linear basis of the homology groups. To our surprise, this linear basis did not reappear in [CLS11], although other results from the works of Danilov and Fulton on the (co)homology of toric varieties are treated.

In this thesis, we take an algebraic combinatorics point of view and try to reprove and generalize the linear basis theorem for the combinatorial Chow ring $\mathcal{R}(\Sigma)$ of a simplicial polyhedral fan $\Sigma$. By noticing that Fulton's combinatorial condition is equivalent to shellability of the associated simplicial complex $\Delta(\Sigma)$, we formulate our propositions in terms of shellable simplicial fans. We give algebraic proofs for the desired linear generating set in all dimensions and its linear independence up to dimension 1 . Though we know from Danilov and Fulton that linear independence holds in higher dimensions under additional assumptions, we were not able to find an algebraic proof for this, not involving algebraic geometry of the associated variety.

The thesis is split into three chapters. We start with an introduction to toric geometry in the first chapter. The introduction aims to be self-contained without getting entangled in details of algebraic geometry. Thus, we take a very classical point of view on algebraic geometry, avoiding more abstract concepts like sheaves and schemes. At some points we see the disadvantages of this approach, for example when defining $\operatorname{Spec}(R)$, but the great benefit is, that we can focus on the beautiful combinatorics and convex geometry behind toric varieties. This focus is reflected in our definition of affine toric varieties, that does not even mention the embedded torus and its action on the variety. Nevertheless, we discuss the connection to the classical approach of toric varieties as torus embeddings
in several remarks. Throughout the thesis, we provide examples to clarify the abstract concepts and fill gaps where proofs are omitted.

The second chapter is the original work of this thesis. We define the combinatorial Chow ring $\mathcal{R}(\Sigma)$ of a simplicial fan $\Sigma$ in the most general setting and generalize some properties already mentioned in Ewald's book [Ewa96]. As a sanity check for our general definition of $\mathcal{R}(\Sigma)$ we make a quick digression to study the combinatorial Chow ring of products of simplicial fans, which works out nicely as expected from the connection to the Stanley-Reisner ring of the associated simplicial complex. We go on to define shellability of simplicial fans and prove the linear generators of $\mathcal{R}(\Sigma)$ in the shellable case. We prove the linear independence of the generators up to dimension one and formulate our conjecture for higher dimensions.

In the third and final chapter we come back to toric geometry and provide the algebrogeometric context of our work. We show that Fulton's condition is really shellability and from that extract a linear basis for the homology groups of toric varieties given by complete smooth shellable fans. Using Poincaré duality and a result from [Dan78], we verify our conjecture under the additional assumption of completeness.

All of our examples will take place in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, where we have the standard basis $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}$ with dual basis $e_{1}^{*}, \ldots, e_{n}^{*}$ defined by $\left\langle e_{i}^{*}, e_{j}\right\rangle=\delta_{i j}$, where $\delta_{i j}$ denotes the Kronecker delta and $\langle-,-\rangle$ denotes the dual pairing $\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)^{*} \times \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R},(f, v) \mapsto f(v)$. As usual in combinatorics, we abbreviate subsets of $[n]=\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$ by strings of elements, e.g. $13=\{1,3\}$, when it is clear from the context that we mean the subset $\{1,3\}$ and not the number thirteen. All rings in this thesis are commutative rings with 1 and ring homomorphisms map 1 to 1 . All $\mathbb{C}$-algebras are associative $\mathbb{C}$-algebras with 1 and C-algebra homomorphisms also map 1 to 1 . Homology and cohomology groups are taken to have integral coefficients if not mentioned otherwise.

## 1 Introduction to Toric Geometry

In this chapter we give an introduction to toric geometry, including the necessary background in algebraic geometry. We will not prove every statement, but instead look at examples where they help to grasp the abstract concepts. For details, we refer to [CLO07] and [CLS11], which are textbooks on algebraic geometry and toric geometry, respectively.

### 1.1 Affine Varieties

To understand toric varieties in general, we need to consider affine toric varieties first. Afterwards we will be able to glue these affine varieties along certain open subsets to obtain toric varieties. We start with the classical definitions of affine algebraic varieties and their coordinate rings.

Definition 1.1. An affine variety $V \subseteq \mathbb{C}^{n}$ is the zero-locus of finitely many polynomials $f_{1}, f_{2}, \ldots, f_{s} \in \mathbb{C}\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$,

$$
V=\left\{p \in \mathbb{C}^{n} \mid f_{1}(p)=f_{2}(p)=\cdots=f_{s}(p)=0\right\} .
$$

Since $\mathbb{C}\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$ is a Noetherian ring, every ideal $I \subseteq \mathbb{C}\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$ is finitely generated, so the set of all points $p \in \mathbb{C}^{n}$ with $f(p)=0$ for all $f \in I$ is an affine variety $\mathbf{V}(I)$. Conversely, given an affine variety $V \subseteq \mathbb{C}^{n}$, the polynomials vanishing on $V$ form an ideal $\mathbf{I}(V)$. While for every affine variety $V$ the affine variety $\mathbf{V}(\mathbf{I}(V))$ is always $V$ itself, the ideal $\mathbf{I}(\mathbf{V}(I))$ is different from $I$ in general. The relationship is given by Hilbert's Nullstellensatz.

Theorem 1.2 (Hilbert's Nullstellensatz, [CLO07, Chapter 4, §2, Theorem 6]). Let I be an ideal in the polynomial ring $\mathbb{C}\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$, then $\mathbf{I}(\mathbf{V}(I))=\sqrt{I}$.

Here $\sqrt{I}$ is the radical of $I$, consisting of all polynomials $f$, such that $f^{k} \in I$ for some positive integer $k$.
Example 1.3. Let $f=x^{2}+y^{2}-1 \in \mathbb{C}[x, y, z]$, then $V=\mathbf{V}(f)$ is the affine variety in $\mathbb{C}^{3}$ consisting of all points $(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{C}^{3}$ such that $x^{2}+y^{2}=1$. Intersecting $V$ with $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ we obtain the real part of an infinite cylinder as shown in Figure 1.1.


Figure 1.1: The real part of the affine variety $\mathbf{V}\left(x^{2}+y^{2}-1\right) \subseteq \mathbb{C}^{3}$.

For $g=\left(x^{2}+y^{2}-1\right)^{3}$, we obtain a different ideal $\langle g\rangle \subsetneq\langle f\rangle$, but the same variety $\mathbf{V}(g)=\mathbf{V}(f)$. In fact, $\langle f\rangle$ is radical the radical of $\langle g\rangle$, so $\mathbf{I}(V)=\langle f\rangle$.

The Zariski Topology. In addition to the standard topology on an affine variety $V \subseteq \mathbb{C}^{n}$ induced by the standard topology on $\mathbb{C}^{n}$, there is another useful topology on affine varieties. The subvarieties of $V$ (i.e., affine varieties in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ that are contained in $V$ ) form the collection of closed sets of a topology, called the Zariski topology on $V$. Since subvarieties are also closed in the standard topology, the Zariski topology is coarser than the standard topology. In fact, the Zariski topology is usually not even Hausdorff. Consider $V=\mathrm{C}$, the only subvarieties of $V$ are finite point sets, so the Zariski topology is the cofinite topology in this case, which is not Hausdorff.

Morphisms of Affine Varieties. A map $\phi: V \rightarrow W$ between affine varieties that is given by polynomials in each coordinate is called a morphism of affine varieties. Affine varieties together with morphisms of affine varieties form a category. In particular, we say that two affine varieties $V$ and $W$ are isomorphic if there exist morphisms $\phi: V \rightarrow W$ and $\psi: W \rightarrow V$, such that $\psi \circ \phi=\mathrm{id}_{V}$ and $\phi \circ \psi=\mathrm{id}_{W}$.

Coordinate Rings of Affine Varieties. To every affine variety $V$ we associate a $\mathbb{C}$ algebra with elements corresponding to morphisms $V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$.

Definition 1.4. Given an affine variety $V \subseteq \mathbb{C}^{n}$, we define the coordinate ring of $V$ to be the $\mathbb{C}$-algebra $\mathbb{C}[V]=\mathbb{C}\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right] / \mathbf{I}(V)$.

A polynomial $f \in \mathbb{C}\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$ corresponds to a polynomial map $f: \mathbb{C}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, so it gives a morphism $\left.f\right|_{V}: V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. For two polynomials $f$ and $g$ we have $\left.f\right|_{V}=\left.g\right|_{V}$ if and only if $f-g \in \mathbf{I}(V)$. Hence, elements of $\mathbb{C}[V]$ correspond to morphisms $V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. A different formulation of Hilbert's Nullstellensatz tells us that the points of $V$ are in one to one correspondence with maximal ideals in $\mathbb{C}[V]$, where $p \in V$ corresponds to the ideal consisting of all $f \in \mathbb{C}[V]$ vanishing on $p$, see [CLO07, Chapter $5, \S 4$, Theorem 5]. Since every morphism $\phi: V \rightarrow W$ of affine varieties induces a $\mathbb{C}$-algebra homomorphism $\phi^{*}: \mathbb{C}[W] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[V], f \mapsto f \circ \phi$, we have a contravariant functor from the category of affine varieties to the category of $\mathbb{C}$-algebras, that assigns to each affine variety $V$ its coordinate ring $\mathbb{C}[V]$ and to each morphism $\phi: V \rightarrow W$ the induced homomorphism $\phi^{*}: \mathbb{C}[W] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[V]$. The important property of this functor is, that a morphism of affine varieties $\phi: V \rightarrow W$ is an isomorphism if and only if $\phi^{*}: \mathbb{C}[W] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[V]$ is an isomorphism, see [CLO07, Chapter 5, $\S 4$, Theorem 9]. Thus, we are able to reconstruct an affine variety $V$ from its coordinate ring $\mathbb{C}[V]$ up to isomorphism.

The Spectrum of a C-Algebra. Every coordinate ring is a finitely generated $\mathbb{C}$-algebra with no non-zero nilpotents, since it is a quotient of $\mathbb{C}\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$ by a radical ideal (i.e., an ideal with $\sqrt{I}=I$ ). On the other hand, given a finitely generated $\mathbb{C}$-algebra $R$ with no non-zero nilpotents, we can always construct an affine variety $V$ such that $\mathbb{C}[V] \cong R$.

Let $R$ be a finitely generated $\mathbb{C}$-algebra with no non-zero nilpotents. Pick generators $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{r} \in R$ and define a $\mathbb{C}$-algebra homomorphism $\varphi: \mathbb{C}\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{r}\right] \rightarrow R$ by $x_{i} \mapsto f_{i}$. Since $\varphi$ is surjective, we have $R \cong \mathbb{C}\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{r}\right] / \operatorname{ker} \varphi$, where $\operatorname{ker} \varphi$ is radical since $R$ has no non-zero nilpotents. Thus, $\mathbf{V}(\operatorname{ker} \varphi) \subseteq \mathbb{C}^{r}$ is an affine variety with coordinate ring isomorphic to $R$.

The affine variety determined by a C -algebra $R$ is called $\operatorname{Spec}(R)$. The reason for this notation is that the set of maximal ideals of a ring is called its maximal spectrum. The theory of schemes introduces a more general notion of varieties that would allow us to directly define $\operatorname{Spec}(R)$ as a scheme, without the need to embed it in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ like we did in the previous construction. While it is calming to know that we could describe $\operatorname{Spec}(R)$ without involving an arbitrary choice of generators of $R$, it is enough for our purposes to set $\operatorname{Spec}(R)=\mathbf{V}(\operatorname{ker} \varphi)$ for a fixed choice of generators.

The Complex Torus. The multiplicative group $\left(\mathbb{C}^{*}\right)^{n}$ is called the $n$-dimensional complex torus. Our first step in the direction of toric geometry is to equip the complex torus with the structure of an affine variety. Strictly speaking, $\left(\mathbb{C}^{*}\right)^{n}$ is not an affine variety according to Definition 1.1, since it cannot be expressed as the zero-locus of a finite family of polynomials in $\mathbb{C}\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$. It rather is the complement of an affine variety, namely $\left(\mathbb{C}^{*}\right)^{n}=\mathbb{C}^{n} \backslash \mathbf{V}\left(x_{1} x_{2} \cdots x_{n}\right)$. This allows us to construct an affine variety in $\mathbb{C}^{n} \times \mathbb{C}$ that projects to $\left(\mathbb{C}^{*}\right)^{n}$ bijectively, which is a general construction we will revisit when discussing localizations of coordinate rings in Section 1.5.

Let $V=\mathbf{V}\left(1-x_{1} x_{2} \ldots x_{n} y\right) \subseteq \mathbb{C}^{n} \times \mathbb{C}$, where $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ are the coordinates of $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ and $y$ is the coordinate for the additional factor $\mathbb{C}$. The projection $\mathbb{C}^{n} \times \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n}$ maps $V$ bijectively onto $\left(\mathbb{C}^{*}\right)^{n}$, equipping it with the structure of an affine variety. Using this construction, we find the coordinate ring of the complex torus to be

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{C}\left[\left(\mathbb{C}^{*}\right)^{n}\right] & =\mathbb{C}\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}, y\right] /\left(1-x_{1} x_{2} \ldots x_{n} y\right)=\mathbb{C}\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}, 1 /\left(x_{1} x_{2} \ldots x_{n}\right)\right] \\
& =\mathbb{C}\left[x_{1}^{ \pm 1}, \ldots, x_{n}^{ \pm 1}\right],
\end{aligned}
$$

which is the ring of Laurent polynomials in $n$ variables over C .

### 1.2 Convex Polyhedral Cones

The heart of toric geometry lies in the fact, that toric varieties arise from a combinatorial structure called a fan. In this section we will discuss cones, which are the building blocks of fans and belong to affine toric varieties, which will in turn be the building blocks of toric varieties.

Definition 1.5. A lattice $N$ is a free abelian group of finite rank, i.e. $N \cong \mathbb{Z}^{n}$. It is contained in the real vector space $N_{\mathbb{R}}=N \otimes \mathbb{R} \cong \mathbb{R}^{n}$. The dual lattice $M$ given as $\operatorname{Hom}(N, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{n}$ is contained in $M_{\mathbb{R}} \cong \mathbb{R}^{n}$, which is the dual vector space to $N_{\mathbb{R}}$.

We have a product $\langle-,-\rangle: M_{\mathbb{R}} \times N_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by the usual dual pairing of vector spaces. This will be our standard setting in the following sections, so $M$ will always be lattice with dual $N$ and $M_{\mathbb{R}}, N_{\mathbb{R}}$ their corresponding ambient real vector spaces.

Definition 1.6. A convex polyhedral cone in $N_{\mathbb{R}}$ is a set of the form

$$
\sigma=\text { Cone }\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{k}\right)=\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{k} r_{i} u_{i} \mid r_{i} \geq 0\right\} \subseteq N_{\mathbb{R}}
$$

for some $u_{1}, \ldots, u_{k} \in N_{\mathbb{R}}$. A convex polyhedral cone is called rational if all $u_{i} \in N$.

Since "convex polyhedral cone" is a rather long term, we will usually use the word "cone" and imply that we are talking about convex polyhedral cones.

Dual Cones. Given a cone $\sigma \subseteq N_{\mathbb{R}}$, we define the convex set

$$
\sigma^{\vee}=\left\{v \in M_{\mathbb{R}} \mid\langle v, u\rangle \geq 0 \text { for all } u \in \sigma\right\}
$$

This set is called the dual cone of $\sigma$, which is justified by the following proposition.
Proposition 1.7 ([Ewa96, Chapter V Theorem 2.1, Lemma 2.2, Theorem 2.9]). Given a convex polyhedral cone $\sigma \subseteq N_{\mathbb{R}}$, its dual cone $\sigma^{\vee} \subseteq M_{\mathbb{R}}$ is again a convex polyhedral cone. We have $\left(\sigma^{\vee}\right)^{\vee}=\sigma$ and $\sigma^{\vee}$ is rational if and only if $\sigma$ is rational.
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Example 1.8. Consider the lattice $N=\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ with ambient real vector space $N_{\mathbb{R}}=\mathbb{R}^{2}$. The rational cone $\sigma=\operatorname{Cone}\left(2 e_{1}+e_{2}, e_{2}\right) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{2}$ is the intersection of two half-spaces given by the inward pointing normal vectors $e_{1}^{*}$ and $-e_{1}^{*}+2 e_{2}^{*}$. Thus, the dual cone is obtained as $\sigma^{\vee}=\operatorname{Cone}\left(e_{1}^{*},-e_{1}^{*}+2 e_{2}^{*}\right) \subseteq M_{\mathbb{R}}=\mathbb{R}^{2}$, as illustrated in Figure 1.2.



Figure 1.2: The cone $\sigma=\operatorname{Cone}\left(2 e_{1}+e_{2}, e_{2}\right) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{2}$ and its dual.

Using a description of $\sigma$ as an intersection of half-spaces as we did in Example 1.8 is a general construction to obtain the dual cone $\sigma^{\vee}$.

### 1.3 Semigroups and Semigroup Algebras

To obtain an affine variety from a cone, we will first construct a $\mathbb{C}$-algebra from the cone, that will serve as a coordinate ring. These algebras will be given by semigroups.

Definition 1.9. A semigroup is a subset $S$ of a lattice $M$ that is closed under addition and contains 0 . The semigroup $S$ is said to be generated by a subset $A \subseteq S$, if

$$
S=\mathbb{N} A=\left\{\sum_{a \in A} k_{a} a \mid k_{a} \in \mathbb{N}, \text { only finitely many } k_{a} \neq 0\right\}
$$

The semigroup $S$ is finitely generated if there is some finite set $A$ generating $S$.
Proposition 1.10 (Gordan's Lemma). If $\sigma \subseteq N_{\mathbb{R}}$ is a rational convex polyhedral cone, then $S_{\sigma}=\sigma^{\vee} \cap M$ is a finitely generated semigroup.
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Proof. The subset $S_{\sigma}=\sigma^{\vee} \cap M$ of $M$ is a semigroup, since both $\sigma^{\vee}$ and $M$ contain 0 and are closed under addition. Since $\sigma$ is a rational cone, we know that $\sigma^{\vee}$ is a rational cone as well by Proposition 1.7. Thus $\sigma^{\vee}=\operatorname{Cone}\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{s}\right)$ for some $v_{i} \in M$. Now consider the set

$$
K=\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{s} t_{i} v_{i} \mid t_{i} \in[0,1]\right\} \subseteq \sigma^{\vee}
$$

Since $K$ is bounded, $K \cap M$ is finite. We will show that $S_{\sigma}$ is generated by $K \cap M$.
Take any $v \in S_{\sigma}=\sigma^{\vee} \cap M$, then $v=\sum_{i=1}^{S} r_{i} v_{i}$ for some $r_{i} \geq 0$. We have

$$
v=\sum_{i=1}^{s}\left\lfloor r_{i}\right\rfloor v_{i}+\sum_{i=1}^{s}\left(r_{i}-\left\lfloor r_{i}\right\rfloor\right) v_{i}
$$

where $\lfloor r\rfloor$ denotes the integer part of a non-negative real number $r$.
Since $v$ and the first summand are elements of $M$, the second summand is in $M$ as well. Since $v_{i} \in K \cap M$, the first summand is in $\mathbb{N}(K \cap M)$. The second summand is in $K$ since $r_{i}-\left\lfloor r_{i}\right\rfloor \leq 1$, thus $v \in \mathbb{N}(K \cap M)$.

Example 1.8 (continuing from p.12). We obtain a generating set of $S_{\sigma}=\sigma^{\vee} \cap \mathbb{Z}^{2}$ as $K \cap M=\left\{0, e_{1}^{*}, e_{2}^{*}, 2 e_{2}^{*},-e_{1}^{*}+2 e_{2}^{*}\right\}$, as shown in Figure 1.3. Since $2 e_{2}^{*}$ is generated by $e_{2}^{*}$, it can be omitted, hence $S_{\sigma}=\mathbb{N}\left\{e_{1}^{*}, e_{2}^{*},-e_{1}^{*}+2 e_{2}^{*}\right\}$.


Figure 1.3: The generating set of the semigroup $S_{\sigma}=\sigma^{\vee} \cap M$.

Remark 1.11. At this point it is unclear, why we are considering $\sigma^{\vee} \cap M$ instead of $\sigma \cap N$. After all, $\sigma \cap N$ is also a finitely generated semigroup that seems to be more closely related to the cone $\sigma$. The reason for this is, that we want the faces of $\sigma$ to correspond to

Zariski open subsets of the associated affine variety, so we can glue the cones of a fan along these open subsets. In Proposition 1.22 we will see how this works out in detail.

Semigroup Algebras. Now we associate C-algebras to semigroups, which will then allow us to define affine toric varieties.

Definition 1.12. Let $S$ be a semigroup in the lattice $M$. The semigroup algebra $\mathbb{C}[S]$ is given as a vector space with basis elements $\chi^{m}$ for all $m \in S$. The multiplication in $\mathbb{C}[S]$ is defined by $\chi^{m} \chi^{m^{\prime}}=\chi^{m+m^{\prime}}$. If $S$ is generated by $m_{1}, \ldots, m_{s}$, we write $\mathbb{C}[S]=\mathbb{C}\left[\chi^{m_{1}}, \ldots, \chi^{m_{s}}\right]$, where the relations are implicit in the definition of the multiplication.

Example 1.8 (continuing from p.12). In the second part of Example 1.8 we constructed the semigroup $S_{\sigma}=\mathbb{N}\left\{e_{1}^{*}, e_{2}^{*},-e_{1}^{*}+2 e_{2}^{*}\right\}$. To this semigroup, we associate the $\mathbb{C}$ algebra $\mathbb{C}\left[S_{\sigma}\right]=\mathbb{C}\left[\chi^{e_{1}^{*}}, \chi^{e_{2}^{*}}, \chi^{-e_{1}^{*}+2 e_{2}^{*}}\right]$ which is isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}\left[x, y, x^{-1} y^{2}\right]$ by mapping $x \mapsto \chi^{e_{1}^{*}}$ and $y \mapsto \chi^{e_{2}^{*}}$.

### 1.4 Affine Toric Varieties

Definition 1.13. An affine variety $V$ is toric, if $V=\operatorname{Spec}(\mathbb{C}[S])$ for some finitely generated semigroup $S$. If $V=\operatorname{Spec}\left(\mathbb{C}\left[S_{\sigma}\right]\right)$ for a rational cone $\sigma$, we write $V=U_{\sigma}$.

Remark 1.14. An affine toric variety $V=\operatorname{Spec}(\mathbb{C}[S])$ always contains a torus $T \cong\left(\mathbb{C}^{*}\right)^{k}$ as a Zariski open subset. We will come back to this fact when discussing how localizations of $\mathbb{C}[S]$ correspond to Zariski open subsets of $V$. The characters $\chi: T \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ form a lattice isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z} S$. In fact, we can define affine toric varieties using embedded tori and their actions on $V$ (see [CLS11, Definition 1.1.3]), which is the historic approach that led to toric geometry.

We should note that not every affine toric variety comes from a cone. For example the semigroup $\{0,2,3,4, \ldots\} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$ can not come from a cone, since it contains 2 but not 1 , which is contained in Cone (2) $=\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$. Semigroups $S$ that contain $m$, whenever $k m \in S$ for some positive integer $k$ are called saturated and those are exactly the semigroups that

## 1 Introduction to Toric Geometry

arise from cones. It turns out that an affine toric variety $V=\operatorname{Spec}(\mathbb{C}[S])$ is normal if and only if $S$ is saturated, so it comes from a cone.

Example 1.8 (continuing from p. 12). From $\mathbb{C}\left[S_{\sigma}\right]=\mathbb{C}\left[\chi^{e_{1}^{*}}, \chi^{e_{2}^{*}}, \chi^{-e_{1}^{*}+2 e_{2}^{*}}\right]$ we have

$$
\mathbb{C}\left[S_{\sigma}\right] \cong \mathbb{C}\left[x, y, x^{-1} y^{2}\right] \cong \mathbb{C}[x, y, z] /\left\langle x z-y^{2}\right\rangle
$$

so $U_{\sigma}=\operatorname{Spec}\left(\mathbb{C}\left[S_{\sigma}\right]\right)$ is isomorphic to the affine variety $\mathbf{V}\left(x z-y^{2}\right)$ in $\mathbb{C}^{3}$. We observe that the relation $x z=y^{2}$ corresponds to the linear relation $e_{1}^{*}+\left(-e_{1}^{*}+2 e_{2}^{*}\right)=2 e_{2}^{*}$ between the generators of $S_{\sigma}$.

The previous observation generalizes to all affine toric varieties. For $V=\operatorname{Spec}(\mathbb{C}[S])$, we can describe the ideal $I$ such that $V=\mathbf{V}(I)$ in terms of the linear relations between the generators of $S$.

Proposition 1.15. Let $S \subseteq M$ be a semigroup with generators $A=\left\{m_{1} \ldots, m_{s}\right\}$, then $\operatorname{Spec}(\mathbb{C}[S])=\mathbf{V}(I) \subseteq \mathbb{C}^{s}$ for the ideal

$$
\left.I=\left\langle x^{a}-x^{b}\right| a, b \in \mathbb{N}^{s} \text { such that } \sum_{i=1}^{s} a_{i} m_{i}=\sum_{i=1}^{s} b_{i} m_{i}\right\rangle, \quad \text { where } x^{a}=x_{1}^{a_{2}} x_{2}^{a_{2}} \cdots x_{s}^{a_{s}}
$$

Proof. By our previous construction, we have $\operatorname{Spec}(\mathbb{C}[S])=\mathbf{V}(\operatorname{ker} \varphi) \subseteq \mathbb{C}^{s}$ for the homomorphism $\varphi: \mathbb{C}\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{s}\right] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[S]=\mathbb{C}\left[\chi^{m_{1}}, \ldots, \chi^{m_{s}}\right]$, given by $x_{i} \mapsto \chi^{m_{i}}$. Let $x^{a}-x^{b} \in I$, then

$$
\varphi\left(x^{a}-x^{b}\right)=\left(\chi^{m_{1}}\right)^{a_{1}} \cdots\left(\chi^{m_{s}}\right)^{a_{s}}-\left(\chi^{m_{1}}\right)^{b_{1}} \cdots\left(\chi^{m_{s}}\right)^{b_{s}}=\chi^{\sum_{i=1}^{s} a_{i} m_{i}}-\chi^{\sum_{i=1}^{s} b_{i} m_{i}}=0
$$

so we have $I \subseteq \operatorname{ker} \varphi$.
Now let $f=\sum c_{a} x^{a} \in \operatorname{ker} \varphi$ and define for any $m \in S$ the set $\pi(m)$ of multi-indices $a \in \mathbb{N}^{s}$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^{s} a_{i} m_{i}=m$. We have

$$
\varphi(f)=\sum_{m \in S}\left(\sum_{a \in \pi(m)} c_{a}\right) \chi^{m}=0,
$$

and therefore $\sum_{a \in \pi(m)} c_{a}=0$ for all $m \in S$. It suffices to show that $f_{m}=\sum_{a \in \pi(m)} c_{a} x^{a}$ lies in the ideal $I$ for all $m \in S$. Let $c_{a^{1}}, \ldots, c_{a^{k}}$ be the non-zero coefficients in $f_{m}$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{m}=\sum_{i=1}^{k} c_{a^{i}} x^{a^{i}}= & c_{a^{1}}\left(x^{a^{1}}-x^{a^{2}}\right)+\left(c_{a^{2}}+c_{a^{1}}\right)\left(x^{a^{2}}-x^{a^{3}}\right)+\left(c_{a^{3}}+c_{a^{2}}+c_{a^{1}}\right)\left(x^{a^{3}}-x^{a^{4}}\right) \\
& +\cdots+\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k} c_{a^{i}}\right)\left(x^{a^{k}}-x^{a^{1}}\right)+\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k} c_{a^{i}}\right) x^{a^{1}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The last term vanishes, since $\sum_{i=1}^{k} c_{a^{i}}=0$, and all other terms are elements of $I$, so we have $\operatorname{ker} \varphi \subseteq I$.

Remark 1.16. Prime ideals generated by binomials are called toric ideals. We have seen in Proposition 1.15 that every affine toric variety is given by a toric ideal: The ideal $I$ is evidently generated by binomials. To see that $I$ is prime, note that $\mathbb{C}[M]$ is the ring of Laurent polynomials in the $\chi^{e_{i}}$, hence an integral domain. So $\mathbb{C}[S] \subseteq \mathbb{C}[M]$ is an integral domain as well and since $\mathbb{C}[S] \cong \mathbb{C}\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{s}\right] / I$, we see that $I$ is prime. In fact, $\mathbf{V}(I)$ is an affine toric variety if and only if $I$ is a toric ideal. For a proof see [CLS11, Theorem 1.1.17].

Our goal is to understand how affine toric varieties corresponding to cones in a fan are glued together to a toric variety. Now that we understand how cones relate to affine toric varieties, our next step is to understand how faces of cones correspond to certain Zariski open subsets of the varieties.

### 1.5 Localizations of Coordinate Rings

Consider an affine variety $V \subseteq \mathbb{C}^{n}$ with coordinate ring $\mathbb{C}[V]=\mathbb{C}\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right] / \mathbf{I}(V)$. Assuming $V$ is irreducible (i.e., $\mathbb{C}[V]$ is an integral domain, so it has a field of fractions $\mathbb{C}(V))$ we define the localization at $f \in \mathbb{C}[V] \backslash\{0\}$ by

$$
\mathbb{C}[V]_{f}=\left\{\left.\frac{g}{f^{k}} \in \mathbb{C}(V) \right\rvert\, g \in \mathbb{C}[V], k \geq 0\right\}=\mathbb{C}[V][1 / f]
$$

## 1 Introduction to Toric Geometry

Proposition 1.17. Let $V \subseteq \mathbb{C}^{n}$ be an irreducible affine variety, $f \in \mathbb{C}[V] \backslash\{0\}$, then

$$
\operatorname{Spec}\left(\mathbb{C}[V]_{f}\right)=V_{f}:=\{p \in V \mid f(p) \neq 0\} .
$$

Proof. As in our discussion of the complex torus, the Zariski open set $V_{f}$ is not an affine variety a priori. Though, we can use the same construction to find an affine variety $W \subseteq \mathbb{C}^{n} \times \mathbb{C}$ that projects bijectively onto $V_{f}$. Let $\mathbf{I}(V)=\left\langle f_{1}, \ldots, f_{s}\right\rangle$ and define $W=\mathbf{V}\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{s}, 1-g y\right)$, where $g \in \mathbb{C}\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$ represents $f \in \mathbb{C}[V]$. The projection $\mathbb{C}^{n} \times \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n}$ maps $W$ bijectively onto $V_{f}$, equipping it with the structure of an affine variety. Using this identification we obtain the coordinate ring

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{C}\left[V_{f}\right] & =\mathbb{C}[W]=\mathbb{C}\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}, y\right] /\left\langle f_{1}, \ldots, f_{s}, 1-g y\right\rangle \\
& =\mathbb{C}\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}, 1 / g\right] /\left\langle f_{1}, \ldots, f_{s}\right\rangle=\mathbb{C}[V][1 / f]=\mathbb{C}[V]_{f} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Remark 1.18. Given a finitely generated semigroup algebra $\mathbb{C}[S]=\mathbb{C}\left[\chi^{m_{1}}, \ldots, \chi^{m_{s}}\right]$, we have

$$
\mathbb{C}[S]_{\chi^{m_{1} \ldots} \chi^{m_{s}}}=\mathbb{C}\left[\chi^{m_{1}}, \ldots, \chi^{m_{s}}, \chi^{-m_{1}-\ldots-m_{s}}\right]=\mathbb{C}\left[\chi^{ \pm m_{1}}, \ldots, \chi^{ \pm m_{s}}\right]=\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{Z S}],
$$

so Spec $(\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{Z} S])$ is a Zariski open subset of the affine toric variety Spec $(\mathbb{C}[S])$. Since $\mathbb{Z} S \cong \mathbb{Z}^{k}$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we know that $\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{Z} S]$ is the ring of Laurent polynomials in $k$ variables, so $\operatorname{Spec}(\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{Z} S]) \cong\left(\mathbb{C}^{*}\right)^{k}$. This is the torus contained in every affine toric variety, as mentioned in Remark 1.14.
Example 1.8 (continuing from p.12). We got $U_{\sigma}=\operatorname{Spec}\left(\mathbb{C}\left[S_{\sigma}\right]\right) \cong \mathbf{V}\left(x z-y^{2}\right) \subseteq \mathbb{C}^{3}$ from the semigroup algebra $\mathbb{C}\left[S_{\sigma}\right]=\mathbb{C}\left[\chi^{e_{1}^{*}}, \chi^{e_{2}^{*}}, \chi^{-e_{1}^{*}}+2 e_{2}^{*}\right]$ of the cone $\sigma=\operatorname{Cone}\left(2 e_{1}^{*}+e_{2}^{*}, e_{2}^{*}\right)$ in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$. To find the embedded torus, we look at

$$
\mathbb{C}\left[\mathbb{Z} S_{\sigma}\right]=\mathbb{C}\left[\chi^{e_{1}^{*}}, \chi^{e_{2}^{*}}, \chi^{-\varepsilon_{1}^{*}+2 e_{2}^{*}}\right]_{\chi^{3 \varepsilon_{2}^{*}}}=\mathbb{C}\left[S_{\sigma}\right]_{\chi_{2}^{*}},
$$

so we find $\operatorname{Spec}\left(\mathbb{C}\left[\mathbb{Z} S_{\sigma}\right]\right)=\left(U_{\sigma}\right)_{\chi^{\sigma_{2}^{*}}} \cong \mathbf{V}\left(x z-y^{2}\right)_{y}$. All points of $\mathbf{V}\left(x z-y^{2}\right)$ with $y \neq 0$ also have $x, z \neq 0$, since $x z=y^{2}$ for points on $U_{\sigma}$. Thus we have the torus

$$
T=\operatorname{Spec}\left(\mathbb{C}\left[\mathbb{Z} S_{\sigma}\right]\right) \cong\left\{\left(x, y, x^{-1} y^{2}\right) \mid x, y \in \mathbb{C}^{*}\right\} \subseteq \mathbf{V}\left(x z-y^{2}\right) \subseteq \mathbb{C}^{3}
$$

which is isomorphic to $\left(\mathbb{C}^{*}\right)^{2}$ by $(x, y) \mapsto\left(x, y, x^{-1} y^{2}\right)$.

### 1.6 Faces of Cones and Zariski Open Subsets

Definition 1.19. Let $\sigma \subseteq N_{\mathbb{R}}$ be a convex polyhedral cone. Given $m \in M_{\mathbb{R}}$ we define the hyperplane and half-space

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H_{m}=\left\{u \in N_{\mathbb{R}} \mid\langle m, u\rangle=0\right\} \subseteq N_{\mathbb{R}}, \\
& H_{m}^{+}=\left\{u \in N_{\mathbb{R}} \mid\langle m, u\rangle \geq 0\right\} \subseteq N_{\mathbb{R}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $\sigma \subseteq H_{m}^{+}$we call $H_{m}$ a supporting hyperplane of $\sigma$. This happens if and only if $m \in \sigma^{\vee}$.
Note that we allow $m=0$, so we have a degenerate supporting hyperplane $H_{0}=N_{\mathbb{R}}$.
Definition 1.20. A face of a cone $\sigma$ is a subset given as $\tau=\sigma \cap H_{m}$ for some supporting hyperplane $H_{m}$. In this case we write $\tau \preceq \sigma$.

Proposition 1.21. If $\tau=\sigma \cap H_{m}$ is a face of the cone $\sigma=\operatorname{Cone}\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{k}\right)$, we have $\tau=$ Cone $\left(u_{i}: u_{i} \in H_{m}\right)$, so every face of a cone is a cone itself.

Proof. We have Cone $\left(u_{i}: u_{i} \in H_{m}\right) \subseteq \tau=\sigma \cap H_{m}$, since $H_{m}$ is a subspace. Now consider any $u \in \tau$, so $u=\sum_{i=1}^{k} r_{i} u_{i}$ and $\langle m, u\rangle=0$. Since $m \in \sigma^{\vee}$, we have $\left\langle m, u_{i}\right\rangle \geq 0$ for all $i$. Thus,

$$
0=\langle m, u\rangle=\sum_{i=1}^{k} r_{i}\left\langle m, u_{i}\right\rangle
$$

where all $r_{i} \geq 0$ and all $\left\langle m, u_{i}\right\rangle \geq 0$. We conclude that $r_{i}=0$, whenever $\left\langle m, u_{i}\right\rangle>0$, which is equivalent to $u_{i} \notin H_{m}$. Therefore $u=\sum_{u_{i} \in H_{m}} r_{i} u_{i}$ as desired.

If $\sigma$ is rational, every face $\tau=\sigma \cap H_{m}$ is given by some $m \in S_{\sigma}=\sigma^{\vee} \cap M$, since all we need is that $\left\langle m, u_{i}\right\rangle$ vanishes whenever $u_{i} \in \tau$ and is strictly positive when $u_{i} \notin \tau$. Having all $u_{i}$ rational, we can choose $m$ rational as well.

Proposition 1.22. Let $\tau=\sigma \cap H_{m}$ be a face of a rational convex polyhedral cone $\sigma \subseteq N_{\mathbb{R}}$ given by $m \in S_{\sigma}$. Then the affine toric variety $U_{\tau}$ is the Zariski open subset $\left(U_{\sigma}\right)_{\chi^{m}}$ of $U_{\sigma}$.

Proof. From $\tau=\sigma \cap H_{m}$ we obtain the dual cone $\tau^{\vee}=\operatorname{Cone}\left(\sigma^{\vee} \cup\{-m\}\right)$, since adding $-m$ to $\sigma^{\vee}$ has the effect of intersecting $\sigma$ with the half-space $H_{-m}^{+}$, which is the same as
intersecting with $H_{m}$ since $\sigma \subseteq H_{m}^{+}$. Thus, we have $S_{\tau}=\tau^{\vee} \cap M=S_{\sigma}+\mathbb{Z}(-m)$ and the coordinate ring is the localization

$$
\mathbb{C}\left[S_{\tau}\right]=\mathbb{C}\left[S_{\sigma}+\mathbb{Z}(-m)\right]=\mathbb{C}\left[S_{\sigma}\right]\left[\chi^{-m}\right]=\mathbb{C}\left[S_{\sigma}\right]_{\chi^{m}}
$$

Therefore, by Proposition 1.17,

$$
U_{\tau}=\operatorname{Spec}\left(\mathbb{C}\left[S_{\tau}\right]\right)=\operatorname{Spec}\left(\mathbb{C}\left[S_{\sigma}\right]_{\chi^{m}}\right)=\left(U_{\sigma}\right)_{\chi^{m}}
$$

Example 1.23. Let $N=\mathbb{Z}^{3}$ and consider the cone $\sigma=\operatorname{Cone}\left(e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{1}+e_{3}, e_{2}+e_{3}\right)$ in $N_{\mathbb{R}}=\mathbb{R}^{3}$. By describing $\sigma$ as the intersection of four half-spaces given by its facets, we obtain the dual cone $\sigma^{\vee}=\operatorname{Cone}\left(e_{1}^{*}, e_{2}^{*}, e_{3}^{*}, e_{1}^{*}+e_{2}^{*}-e_{3}^{*}\right) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{3}$, as shown in Figure 1.4.



Figure 1.4: The cone $\sigma=\operatorname{Cone}\left(e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{1}+e_{3}, e_{2}+e_{3}\right) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{3}$ and its dual.
The semigroup $S_{\sigma}=\sigma^{\vee} \cap \mathbb{Z}^{3}$ is generated by $e_{1}^{*}, e_{2}^{*}, e_{3}^{*}$ and $e_{1}^{*}+e_{2}^{*}-e_{3}^{*}$, so we obtain the semigroup algebra

$$
\mathbb{C}\left[S_{\sigma}\right]=\mathbb{C}\left[\chi^{e_{1}^{*}}, \chi^{e_{2}^{*}}, \chi^{e_{3}^{*}}, \chi^{e_{1}^{*}+e_{2}^{*}-e_{3}^{*}}\right] \cong \mathbb{C}\left[x, y, z, x y z^{-1}\right] \cong \mathbb{C}[x, y, z, w] /\langle x y-z w\rangle
$$

We conclude that $U_{\sigma}=\operatorname{Spec}\left(\mathbb{C}\left[S_{\sigma}\right]\right)$ is isomorphic to $\mathbf{V}(x y-z w) \subseteq \mathbb{C}^{4}$.
The face $\tau=\operatorname{Cone}\left(e_{1}+e_{3}, e_{2}+e_{3}\right)$ (marked red in Figure 1.4) is obtained as $\tau=\sigma \cap H_{m}$ for $m=e_{1}^{*}+e_{2}^{*}-e_{3}^{*} \in S_{\sigma}$, so the associated affine toric variety $U_{\tau}$ is the Zariski open
subset $\left(U_{\sigma}\right)_{\chi^{\chi_{1}^{*}+e_{2}^{*}-e_{3}^{*}}, \text { which is isomorphic to }}$

$$
\mathbf{V}(x y-z w)_{w}=\left\{(x, y, z, w) \in \mathbb{C}^{4} \mid x y=z w, w \neq 0\right\} .
$$

Strong Convexity. We know from Remark 1.18 that a toric variety $\operatorname{Spec}(\mathbb{C}[S])$ always contains the torus $\operatorname{Spec}(\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{Z} S])$ as a Zariski open subset. The dimension of this torus is given by the rank of $\mathbb{Z} S$, which might be different from the rank of the lattice $M$ containing $S$ in general. If we want the contained torus to have the dimension given by the rank of $M$, we need $\mathbb{Z} S=M$. For arbitrary finitely generated semigroups $S \subseteq M$ this condition is equivalent to $S$ containing a basis of $M$. However, if $S=S_{\sigma}$ is given by a rational cone $\sigma \subseteq N_{\mathbb{R}}$, this condition is equivalent to $\sigma$ being strongly convex, which means that $\{0\}$ is a face of $\sigma$ or equivalently, $\sigma$ contains no positive dimensional subspace of $N_{\text {R }}$.

Proposition 1.24. Let $\sigma \subseteq N_{\mathbb{R}} \cong \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be a rational convex polyhedral cone with semigroup $S_{\sigma}=\sigma^{\vee} \cap M$. Then $\mathbb{Z} S_{\sigma}=M$ if and only if $\sigma$ is a strongly convex cone. In this case the torus of $U_{\sigma}$ has dimension $n$, the rank of the lattices $N$ and $M$.

Proof. Let $\sigma \subseteq N_{\mathbb{R}}$ be strongly convex, then $\tau=\{0\}$ is a face of $\sigma$, so $\tau=\sigma \cap H_{m}$ for some $m \in S_{\sigma}$. Since $\tau^{\vee}=M_{\mathbb{R}}$ we have $S_{\sigma}+\mathbb{Z}(-m)=S_{\tau}=\tau^{\vee} \cap M=M$, so $\mathbb{Z} S_{\sigma}=M$. Conversely, if $\mathbb{Z} S_{\sigma}=M$, we know that $S_{\sigma}$ contains a basis $m_{1}, \ldots, m_{n}$ of $M$. Let $m=m_{1}+\cdots+m_{n}$, then $\sigma \cap H_{m}=\{0\}$, since any $u \in \sigma \cap H_{m}$ satisfies $0=\langle m, u\rangle=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\langle m_{i}, u\right\rangle$, where all $\left\langle m_{i}, u\right\rangle \geq 0$, so in fact all $\left\langle m_{i}, u\right\rangle=0$ and thus $u=0$ since $m_{1}, \ldots, m_{n}$ is a basis of $M_{\mathbb{R}}$.

For a strongly convex rational polyhedral cone $\sigma \subseteq N_{\mathbb{R}}$, the edges are always rays, since $\sigma$ contains no 1 -dimensional subspace. Along each ray $\rho \preceq \sigma$, there is a unique $u_{\rho} \in \rho \cap N$ that generates the semigroup $\rho \cap N$. The collection of all $u_{\rho}$, where $\rho$ ranges over the edges of $\sigma$, is called the collection of minimal ray generators of $\sigma$. In fact, $\sigma=\operatorname{Cone}\left(u_{\rho_{1}}, \ldots, u_{\rho_{r}}\right)$, so the minimal ray generators always generate $\sigma$ as a cone (see [CLS11, Lemma 1.2.15]).

### 1.7 Toric Varieties from Polyhedral Fans

In order to glue affine toric varieties along the Zariski open subsets corresponding to faces of the underlying cones, we need a general construction of gluing affine varieties along Zariski open subsets.

Definition 1.25. An abstract variety is given by a finite family $\left(V_{\alpha}\right)_{\alpha \in I}$ of affine varieties, Zariski open sets $V_{\beta \alpha} \subseteq V_{\alpha}$ for all pairs $\alpha, \beta \in I$ and isomorphisms $g_{\beta \alpha}: V_{\beta \alpha} \rightarrow V_{\alpha \beta}$, satisfying the following conditions:
(a) For every pair $\alpha, \beta \in I$ the isomorphisms $g_{\alpha \beta}$ and $g_{\beta \alpha}$ are mutually inverse.
(b) For all $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in I$ we have $g_{\beta \alpha}\left(V_{\beta \alpha} \cap V_{\gamma \alpha}\right)=V_{\alpha \beta} \cap V_{\gamma \beta}$ and $g_{\gamma \alpha}=g_{\gamma \beta} \circ g_{\beta \alpha}$ on $V_{\beta \alpha} \cap V_{\gamma \alpha}$.

These conditions give an equivalence relation on the disjoint union $\coprod_{\alpha \in I} V_{\alpha}$ by letting $a \sim b$ if and only if $a \in V_{\beta \alpha}, b \in V_{\alpha \beta}$ and $g_{\beta \alpha}(a)=b$ for some $\alpha, \beta \in I$. The abstract variety given by this data is the quotient space

$$
X=\coprod_{\alpha \in I} V_{\alpha} / \sim .
$$

Abstract varieties have a standard and a Zariski topology, obtained by equipping each $V_{\alpha}$ with the standard or Zariski topology, respectively. The images of the affine varieties $V_{\alpha}$ in the quotient $X$ are called the affine charts of the abstract variety $X$.

Remark 1.26. In order to determine if two abstract varieties are isomorphic, we would need some definition of morphisms between abstract varieties. Defining those morphisms properly involves rings of regular functions and sheaves, that describe what kind of maps correspond to our polynomial maps in the affine setting, where the coordinate ring encoded this information. For a proper definition see [CLS11, § 3.0]. Since we are more concerned with topological features like cohomology in this thesis, we skip this definition and instead give correspondences of affine charts whenever we mention an isomorphism of abstract varieties.

Example 1.27. Let $V_{1}$ and $V_{2}$ be two copies of C and define the Zariski open subsets $V_{21}=\mathbb{C}^{*} \subseteq V_{1}, V_{12}=\mathbb{C}^{*} \subseteq V_{2}$. Consider the isomorphisms $\mathbb{C}^{*} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{*}$ given by $g: z \mapsto z$
and $\widetilde{g}: z \mapsto 1 / z$. Gluing $V_{1}$ and $V_{2}$ along $g$, we obtain the abstract variety $X_{g}$, which might be described as the complex line with two origins, since all other points of the two copies have been identified. Gluing along $\widetilde{g}$, we obtain a different abstract variety $X_{\widetilde{g}}$, which is isomorphic to $\mathbb{C P}^{1}$, since the gluing exactly mimics how the two charts $\{[1: z] \mid z \in \mathbb{C}\}$ and $\{[z: 1] \mid z \in \mathbb{C}\}$ intersect in $\mathbb{C P}^{1}$.

Remark 1.28. Some authors call the object defined in Definition 1.25 a prevariety and require abstract varieties to be separated, which is equivalent to being Hausdorff with respect to the standard topology. In this terminology, the complex line with two origins is a non-separated prevariety. We will not make this distinction, since all toric varieties obtained from polyhedral fans are separated (see [CLS11, Theorem 3.1.5]).

Since faces of cones correspond to Zariski open subsets of the associated affine toric varieties, we can glue affine toric varieties $U_{\sigma_{1}}$ and $U_{\sigma_{2}}$ that are given by cones $\sigma_{1}$ and $\sigma_{2}$ intersecting in a common face $\sigma_{1} \cap \sigma_{2}$ along $U_{\sigma_{1} \cap \sigma_{2}}$. The structure needed to obtain a toric variety in this way is a fan.

Definition 1.29. A rational polyhedral fan (or just fan) $\Sigma$ in $N_{\mathbb{R}}$ for a lattice $N \cong \mathbb{Z}^{n}$ is a finite collection of cones $\sigma \subseteq N_{\mathbb{R}}$ satisfying the following conditions:
(a) Every $\sigma \in \Sigma$ is a strongly convex rational polyhedral cone.
(b) For $\sigma \in \Sigma$ and $\tau \preceq \sigma$, we have $\tau \in \Sigma$.
(c) For $\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2} \in \Sigma$, we have $\sigma_{1} \cap \sigma_{2} \preceq \sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}$.

The $k$-dimensional cones in $\Sigma$ form a subset $\Sigma^{(k)} \subseteq \Sigma$. In particular, since every cone is strongly convex, $\Sigma^{(1)}$ is a set of rays. The fan $\Sigma$ is called complete, if every $u \in N_{\mathbb{R}}$ is contained in some $\sigma \in \Sigma$.

Definition 1.30. Given a rational polyhedral fan $\Sigma$, the family of affine toric varieties $\left(U_{\sigma}\right)_{\sigma \in \Sigma}$ and Zariski open subsets $U_{\sigma_{2}, \sigma_{1}}=U_{\sigma_{1} \cap \sigma_{2}} \subseteq U_{\sigma_{1}}$ with the obvious isomorphisms $U_{\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}} \cong U_{\sigma_{2}, \sigma_{1}}$ define an abstract variety called the toric variety $X_{\Sigma}$.

The condition of strong convexity in Definition 1.29 guarantees that all of the glued affine toric varieties $U_{\sigma}$ contain the same torus $U_{\{0\}}=\operatorname{Spec}(\mathbb{C}[M])$, which is identified to a single torus in $X_{\Sigma}$. The other two conditions establish the gluing conditions needed to construct an abstract variety.

## 1 Introduction to Toric Geometry

Remark 1.31. As in the case of affine toric varieties in Remark 1.14, toric varieties can also be defined using embedded tori and their actions on the abstract variety (see [CLS11, Definition 3.1.1]). Similar to the affine case, toric varieties defined this way will not always come from a fan. Again the toric varieties obtained from rational polyhedral fans are exactly the normal toric varieties.

Example 1.32. Let $N=\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ and consider the fan $\Sigma$ given by the cones $\sigma_{1}=\operatorname{Cone}\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right)$, $\sigma_{2}=\operatorname{Cone}\left(e_{1},-e_{1}-e_{2}\right), \sigma_{3}=\operatorname{Cone}\left(e_{2},-e_{1}-e_{2}\right)$ and all of their faces. Describing the cones as intersections of half-spaces we obtain the dual cones from the inward pointing normal vectors as $\sigma_{1}^{\vee}=\operatorname{Cone}\left(e_{1}^{*}, e_{2}^{*}\right), \sigma_{2}^{\vee}=\operatorname{Cone}\left(e_{1}^{*}-e_{2}^{*},-e_{2}^{*}\right)$ and $\sigma_{3}^{\vee}=\operatorname{Cone}\left(e_{2}^{*}-e_{1}^{*},-e_{1}^{*}\right)$, as illustrated in Figure 1.5.



Figure 1.5: The fan $\Sigma$ of $\mathbb{C P}^{2}$ and the duals of its maximal cones.

Note that we only need to glue $U_{\sigma_{1}}, U_{\sigma_{2}}$ and $U_{\sigma_{3}}$ along their common Zariski open subsets to obtain $X_{\Sigma}$, since all other cones in $\Sigma$ are faces of these three cones and the corresponding affine toric varieties will be glued in as already existing Zariski open subsets.

Let us calculate the three semigroup algebras to obtain the affine charts of $X_{\Sigma}$.

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\mathbb{C}\left[S_{\sigma_{1}}\right]=\mathbb{C}\left[\chi_{1}^{e_{1}^{*}}, \chi_{2}^{e_{2}^{*}}\right] \cong \mathbb{C}\left[x_{1}, y_{1}\right] & \Longrightarrow & U_{\sigma_{1}}=\operatorname{spec}\left(\mathbb{C}\left[S_{\sigma_{1}}\right]\right) \cong \mathbb{C}^{2}, \\
\mathbb{C}\left[S_{\sigma_{2}}\right]=\mathbb{C}\left[\chi_{1}^{e_{1}^{*}-e_{2}^{*}}, \chi^{-e_{2}^{*}}\right] \cong \mathbb{C}\left[x_{2}, y_{2}\right] & \Longrightarrow & U_{\sigma_{2}}=\operatorname{Spec}\left(\mathbb{C}\left[S_{\sigma_{2}}\right]\right) \cong \mathbb{C}^{2}, \\
\mathbb{C}\left[S_{\sigma_{3}}\right]=\mathbb{C}\left[\chi^{e_{2}^{*}-e_{1}^{*}}, \chi^{-e_{1}^{*}}\right] \cong \mathbb{C}\left[x_{3}, y_{3}\right] & \Longrightarrow & U_{\sigma_{3}}=\operatorname{Spec}\left(\mathbb{C}\left[S_{\sigma_{3}}\right]\right) \cong \mathbb{C}^{2} .
\end{array}
$$

## 1 Introduction to Toric Geometry

For each cone, the two generators already generate the semigroup and since they form a basis of $M$ in each case, there are no linear relations, so the ideal from Proposition 1.15 is trivial. We chose $\mathbb{C}$-algebra isomorphism given by $x_{1}, y_{1} \mapsto \chi^{e_{1}^{*}}, \chi^{e_{2}^{*}}$ for $\mathbb{C}\left[S_{\sigma_{1}}\right]$, $x_{2}, y_{2} \mapsto \chi^{e_{1}^{*}-e_{2}^{*}}, \chi^{-e_{2}^{*}}$ for $\mathbb{C}\left[S_{\sigma_{2}}\right]$ and $x_{3}, y_{3} \mapsto \chi^{e_{2}^{*}-e_{1}^{*}}, \chi^{-e_{1}^{*}}$ for $\mathbb{C}\left[S_{\sigma_{3}}\right]$ to keep track of the coordinates in the three copies of $\mathrm{C}^{2}$.

The affine charts $U_{\sigma_{1}}$ and $U_{\sigma_{2}}$ are glued along $U_{\sigma_{1} \cap \sigma_{2}}$, where $\sigma_{1} \cap \sigma_{2}=$ Cone $\left(e_{1}\right)$ with dual $\left(\sigma_{1} \cap \sigma_{2}\right)^{\vee}=\operatorname{Cone}\left(e_{1}^{*}, e_{2}^{*},-e_{2}^{*}\right)$ and semigroup algebra $\mathbb{C}\left[\chi^{e_{1}^{*}}, \chi^{e_{2}^{*}}, \chi^{-e_{2}^{*}}\right]$. Expressing this semigroup algebra as a localization of the semigroup algebras of $\sigma_{1}$ and $\sigma_{2}$ using $\sigma_{1} \cap \sigma_{2}=\sigma_{1} \cap H_{e_{2}^{*}}=\sigma_{2} \cap H_{-e_{2}^{*}}$, we find the Zariski open subsets that need to be glued.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{C}\left[S_{\sigma_{1} \cap \sigma_{2}}\right] & =\mathbb{C}\left[\chi^{e_{1}^{*}}, \chi^{e_{2}^{*}}, \chi^{-e_{2}^{*}}\right] \\
& =\mathbb{C}\left[S_{\sigma_{1}}\right]_{\chi_{2}^{*}} \cong \mathbb{C}\left[x_{1}, y_{1}\right]_{y_{1}} \\
& =\mathbb{C}\left[S_{\sigma_{2}}\right]_{\chi^{-e_{2}^{*}}} \cong \mathbb{C}\left[x_{2}, y_{2}\right]_{y_{2}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, the Zariski open subset is $\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}^{*}$ given by $y_{1} \neq 0$ for $U_{\sigma_{1}}$ and $y_{2} \neq 0$ for $U_{\sigma_{2}}$. We need to identify $\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{2} \cong U_{\sigma_{1}}$ with $\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{2} \cong U_{\sigma_{2}}$ whenever $y_{1}, y_{2} \neq 0$ and $x_{2}=x_{1} y_{1}^{-1}, y_{2}=y_{1}^{-1}$, obtained from $\chi^{e_{1}^{*}-e_{2}^{*}}=\chi^{e_{1}^{*}}\left(\chi^{e_{2}^{*}}\right)^{-1}$ and $\chi^{-e_{2}^{*}}=\left(\chi^{e_{2}^{*}}\right)^{-1}$ under the chosen isomorphisms. The gluing rules for $U_{\sigma_{1}}, U_{\sigma_{3}}$ and $U_{\sigma_{2}}, U_{\sigma_{3}}$ are obtained similarly and reveal that $X_{\Sigma}$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{C P}^{2}$, where the three affine charts of $X_{\Sigma}$ correspond to the three charts $\left(x_{1}: y_{1}: 1\right),\left(x_{2}: 1: y_{2}\right)$ and $\left(1: x_{3}: y_{3}\right)$ in $\mathbb{C P}^{2}$.

## 2 Combinatorial Chow Rings

In this chapter we define and study combinatorial Chow rings of simplicial fans. As we will see in Chapter 3, these rings appear as cohomology rings of the associated toric varieties in some cases. The goal of this chapter is to understand the linear structure of the combinatorial Chow ring of a fan $\Sigma$ without referring to the associated toric variety $X_{\Sigma}$. Hence, we restrict ourselves to algebraic and combinatorial tools to obtain results depending only on the immediate features of the fan $\Sigma$.

### 2.1 Abstract Simplicial Complexes of Simplicial Fans

We have seen in Proposition 1.21, that every face of a cone is given by a subset of its generators. We now define a class of cones where the converse, Proposition 2.2, holds as well.

Definition 2.1. Let $\sigma \subseteq N_{\mathbb{R}}$ be a strongly convex rational polyhedral cone. The cone $\sigma$ is simplicial if its minimal ray generators are linearly independent over $\mathbb{R}$. If the minimal ray generators form part of a $\mathbb{Z}$-basis of $M$, we say $\sigma$ is smooth or unimodular. In particular, every smooth cone is simplicial.

Proposition 2.2. Let $\sigma=\operatorname{Cone}\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{k}\right) \subseteq N_{\mathbb{R}}$ be a simplicial cone, then the cones Cone $(R)$ for $R \subseteq\left\{u_{1}, \ldots, u_{k}\right\}$ are the faces of $\sigma$.

Proof. Let $\tau=\sigma \cap H_{m}$ be a face of $\sigma$, given by some $m \in \sigma^{\vee}$. By Proposition 1.21 we know that $\tau=$ Cone ( $u_{i}: u_{i} \in H_{m}$ ). Thus, all faces of $\sigma$ are given as Cone $(R)$ for some $R \subseteq\left\{u_{1}, \ldots, u_{k}\right\}$.

Since $u_{1}, \ldots, u_{k}$ are linearly independent, we can extend to a basis $u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}$ of $N_{\mathbb{R}}$ with the dual basis $u_{1}^{*}, \ldots, u_{n}^{*}$ of $M_{\mathbb{R}}$. Now given any subset $R \subseteq\left\{u_{1}, \ldots, u_{k}\right\}$, let $m=\sum_{u_{i} \notin R} u_{i}^{*}$. From Proposition 1.21 we have $\sigma \cap H_{m}=\operatorname{Cone}\left(u_{i}: i \leq k, u_{i} \in H_{m}\right)$, where $u_{i} \in H_{m}$ is equivalent to $i \in R$ by the choice of $m$ and $\left\langle u_{i}^{*}, u_{j}\right\rangle=\delta_{i j}$. Thus Cone $(R)=\sigma \cap H_{m}$ is a face of $\sigma$.

The notions of being simplicial or smooth can be extended to fans.
Definition 2.3. A fan $\Sigma$ in $N_{\mathbb{R}}$ is simplicial if all of its cones are simplicial. The fan is smooth or unimodular, if all of its cones are smooth.

Since the faces of simplicial cones are given by subsets of its minimal ray generators, we can associate an abstract simplicial complex to a simplicial fan. Before we do that, we give a proper definition of abstract simplicial complexes and some of their properties.

Definition 2.4. An abstract simplicial complex $\Delta$ on a finite vertex set $V$ is a collection of subsets of $V$, such that $A \subseteq B \in \Delta$ implies $A \in \Delta$. The elements of $\Delta$ are called faces and the dimension of a face $F \in \Delta$ is $\operatorname{dim} F=|F|-1$. The dimension of a non-empty abstract simplicial complex $\Delta$ is $\operatorname{dim} \Delta=\max _{F \in \Delta} \operatorname{dim} F$. The inclusionwise maximal faces are called facets and $\Delta$ is called $d$-pure if all facets are of equal dimension $d$.

Proposition 2.5. Let $\Sigma$ be a simplicial fan in $N_{\mathbb{R}}$ with rays $\Sigma^{(1)}=\left\{\rho_{1}, \ldots, \rho_{r}\right\}$ and minimal ray generators $V_{\Sigma}=\left\{u_{1}, \ldots, u_{r}\right\} \subseteq N$, then

$$
\Delta(\Sigma)=\left\{R \subseteq V_{\Sigma} \mid \operatorname{Cone}(R) \in \Sigma\right\}
$$

is an abstract simplicial complex with vertices $V_{\Sigma}$ and faces corresponding to the cones in $\Sigma$.

Proof. By definition, the elements of $\Delta(\Sigma)$ are subsets of $V_{\Sigma}$. Now let $R \in \Delta(\Sigma)$, then Cone $(R)$ is a simplicial cone in $\Sigma$, thus by Proposition 2.2 all Cone $\left(R^{\prime}\right)$ for $R^{\prime} \subseteq R$ are faces of $\operatorname{Cone}(R)$, hence in the fan $\Sigma$, so $R^{\prime} \in \Delta(\Sigma)$.

### 2.2 Combinatorial Chow Rings

Now that we understand the structure of simplicial fans, we can define the combinatorial Chow ring. The definition we give is the same as Ewald's definition of the combinatorial Chow ring in [Ewa96, Chapter VII Definition 5.1] for complete smooth fans, leaving out the assumption of completeness and weakening smoothness to simpliciality.

Definition 2.6. Let $\Sigma$ be a simplicial fan in $N_{\mathbb{R}}$. Fix a numbering $\rho_{1}, \ldots, \rho_{r}$ of the rays in $\Sigma^{(1)}$ with minimal ray generators $u_{1}, \ldots, u_{r} \in N$. For every ray $\rho_{i}$ introduce a formal variable $X_{i}$. For every cone $\sigma=\operatorname{Cone}\left(u_{i_{1}}, \ldots, u_{i_{s}}\right)$ with $1 \leq i_{1}<\cdots<i_{s} \leq r$ define the square-free monomial $P_{\sigma}=X_{i_{1}} \cdots X_{i_{s}} \in \mathbb{Z}\left[X_{1}, \ldots, X_{r}\right]$. In this polynomial ring, we define the ideals

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{I}=\left\langle P_{\sigma} \mid \sigma \notin \Sigma\right\rangle, \\
& \mathcal{J}=\left\langle\left\langle m, u_{1}\right\rangle X_{1}+\cdots+\left\langle m, u_{r}\right\rangle X_{r} \mid m \in M\right\rangle .
\end{aligned}
$$

The combinatorial Chow ring of $\Sigma$ is defined as $\mathcal{R}(\Sigma)=\mathbb{Z}\left[X_{1}, \ldots, X_{r}\right] /(\mathcal{I}+\mathcal{J})$. For convenience, we define $x_{i}=\left[X_{i}\right] \in \mathcal{R}(\Sigma)$ for $i=1, \ldots, r$ and $p_{\sigma}=\left[P_{\sigma}\right] \in \mathcal{R}(\Sigma)$. Considering $\mathcal{I}$ and $\mathcal{J}$ as ideals in $\mathrm{Q}\left[X_{1}, \ldots, X_{r}\right]$ we define the rational combinatorial Chow ring of $\Sigma$ as $\mathcal{R}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\Sigma)=\mathbb{Q}\left[X_{1}, \ldots, X_{r}\right] /(\mathcal{I}+\mathcal{J})=\mathcal{R}(\Sigma) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$.

Remark 2.7. In the definition of $\mathcal{I}$ it is enough to consider all minimal non-cones of $\Sigma$, i.e. cones Cone $(R) \notin \Sigma$ for $R \subseteq\left\{u_{1}, \ldots, u_{r}\right\}$ such that Cone $\left(R^{\prime}\right) \in \Sigma$ for all $R^{\prime} \subsetneq R$. In the definition of $\mathcal{J}$ it is enough to choose a basis $m_{1}, \ldots, m_{n}$ of $M$ and let $\mathcal{J}$ be generated by the $\sum_{i=1}^{r}\left\langle m_{j}, u_{i}\right\rangle X_{i}$ for $j=1, \ldots, n$.

Remark 2.8. The combinatorial Chow ring of a simplicial fan $\Sigma$ is closely related to the Stanley-Reisner ring or face ring of the associated simplicial complex $\Delta(\Sigma)$, denoted by $k[\Delta(\Sigma)]$, obtained as the quotient of the polynomial ring $k\left[X_{1}, \ldots, X_{r}\right]$ over a field $k$, by the Stanley-Reisner ideal $\mathcal{I}$ defined as in Definition 2.6. We see that the ideal $\mathcal{I}$ only depends on the combinatorial structure of $\Sigma$ that is captured in $\Delta(\Sigma)$, while the additional ideal $\mathcal{J}$ encodes information of the coordinates of the ray generators. StanleyReisner rings of abstract simplicial complexes have been studied in detail, see [Sta96, Chapter II].

A very useful lemma for studying the combinatorial Chow ring is the following shifting lemma. It is a generalization of [Ewa96, Chapter VII Lemma 5.3] to non-complete fans and at the same time slightly stronger by allowing only $\sigma_{j}$ above $\tau$.

Lemma 2.9. Let $\Sigma$ be a smooth fan in $N_{\mathbb{R}}$. If $\tau \prec \sigma \preceq \sigma^{\prime} \in \Sigma$, then there exist cones $\sigma_{j} \in \Sigma$ with $\operatorname{dim} \sigma_{j}=\operatorname{dim} \sigma$ and integers $c_{j}$ for $j=1, \ldots, q$, such that $\tau \prec \sigma_{j} \npreceq \sigma^{\prime}$ and

$$
p_{\sigma}=c_{1} p_{\sigma_{1}}+\cdots+c_{q} p_{\sigma_{q}} \in \mathcal{R}(\Sigma)
$$

Proof. Let $n$ be the rank of $M$. Fix a numbering of the minimal ray generators of $\Sigma$ such that $\sigma^{\prime}=\operatorname{Cone}\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{d}\right), \sigma=\operatorname{Cone}\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{s}\right)$ and $\tau=\operatorname{Cone}\left(u_{k}, \ldots, u_{s}\right)$ for $1<s \leq d \leq n$ and $0 \leq s-k+1<s$, so $\tau$ is a proper face of $\sigma$, allowing $\tau=\{0\}$ when $k=s+1$. Since $\Sigma$ is smooth, we can extend $u_{1}, \ldots, u_{d}$ to a $\mathbb{Z}$-basis $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}$ of $N$, where $v_{i}=u_{i}$ for $i=1, \ldots, d$. This yields a dual basis $v_{1}^{*}, \ldots, v_{n}^{*}$ of $M$. In particular, for $m=v_{1}^{*}$ we obtain

$$
\left\langle v_{1}^{*}, u_{1}\right\rangle x_{1}+\cdots+\left\langle v_{1}^{*}, u_{r}\right\rangle x_{r}=0
$$

Since $v_{i}=u_{i}$ for $i=1, \ldots, d$ we have $\left\langle v_{1}^{*}, u_{1}\right\rangle=1$ and $\left\langle v_{1}^{*}, u_{i}\right\rangle=0$ for $i=2, \ldots, d$. Hence,

$$
x_{1}=-\left\langle v_{1}^{*}, u_{d+1}\right\rangle x_{d+1}-\cdots-\left\langle v_{1}^{*}, u_{r}\right\rangle x_{r}
$$

Substituting into $p_{\sigma}$ gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
p_{\sigma} & =x_{1} \cdots x_{s}=\left(-\left\langle v_{1}^{*}, u_{d+1}\right\rangle x_{d+1}-\cdots-\left\langle v_{1}^{*}, u_{r}\right\rangle x_{r}\right) x_{2} \cdots x_{s} \\
& =c_{d+1} x_{d+1} x_{2} \cdots x_{s}+\cdots+c_{r} x_{r} x_{2} \cdots x_{s} \\
& =c_{d+1} p_{\sigma_{d+1}}+\cdots+c_{r} p_{\sigma_{r}}
\end{aligned}
$$

with $c_{i}=-\left\langle v_{1}^{*}, u_{i}\right\rangle$ and $\sigma_{i}=\operatorname{Cone}\left(u_{i}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{s}\right)$ for $i=d+1, \ldots, r$. Since every ray generator of $\tau$ is contained in $\sigma_{i}$, we have $\tau \prec \sigma_{i}$ for $i=d+1, \ldots, r$. For $\sigma_{i} \notin \Sigma$, we have $p_{\sigma_{i}}=0$, so the corresponding term vanishes. For $\sigma_{i} \in \Sigma$, we have $\sigma_{i} \npreceq \sigma^{\prime}$, since $\rho_{i}$ is a ray of $\sigma_{i}$ but not a ray of $\sigma^{\prime}$.

Remark 2.10. If $\Sigma$ is only simplicial, we obtain the same result for $\mathcal{R}_{\mathrm{Q}}(\Sigma)$ : From an integral basis $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}$ of $N_{\mathbb{R}}$ we get a rational dual basis $v_{1}^{*}, \ldots, v_{n}^{*}$ of $M_{\mathbb{R}}$ that can be transformed into an integral basis of $M_{\mathbb{R}}$ by scaling. As a result, the coefficients $c_{i}$ are no longer integral, but still rational.

The first step in the direction of a linear basis of $\mathcal{R}(\Sigma)$ is the following theorem, telling us that the combinatorial Chow ring is linearly generated by square-free monomials. This theorem also appears as [Ewa96, Chapter IV Theorem 5.5]. The proof given by Ewald holds in the non-complete case as well.

Theorem 2.11. Let $\Sigma$ be a smooth fan in $N_{\mathbb{R}} \cong \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $\mathcal{R}^{(s)}(\Sigma)$ be the subgroup of $\mathcal{R}(\Sigma)$ generated by the square-free monomials of degree $s$. Then $\mathcal{R}(\Sigma)$ decomposes as a graded ring

$$
\mathcal{R}(\Sigma)=\mathcal{R}^{(0)}(\Sigma) \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{R}^{(n)}(\Sigma) .
$$

Proof. We start by showing that every monomial $x_{i_{1}}^{r_{1}} \cdots x_{i_{t}}^{r_{t}} \in \mathcal{R}(\Sigma)$ can be expressed as a linear combination of square-free monomials of the same degree. If the largest exponent is 1 , the monomial is already square-free. Otherwise, without loss of generality, $r_{1}>1$ is the largest exponent. If $\sigma=\operatorname{Cone}\left(u_{i_{1}}, \ldots, u_{i_{t}}\right) \notin \Sigma$, the monomial is zero. If $\sigma \in \Sigma$, we apply Lemma 2.9 for $\{0\} \prec \rho_{i_{1}} \preceq \sigma$ to obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_{i_{1}}^{r_{1}} \cdots x_{i_{t}}^{r_{t}} & =x_{i_{1}} x_{i_{1}}^{r_{1}-1} \cdots x_{i_{t}}^{r_{t}}=\left(c_{1} x_{j_{1}}+\cdots+c_{q} x_{j_{q}}\right) x_{i_{1}}^{r_{1}-1} \cdots x_{i_{t}}^{r_{t}} \\
& =c_{1} x_{j_{1}} x_{i_{1}}^{r_{1}-1} \cdots x_{i_{t}}^{r_{t}}+\cdots+c_{q} x_{j_{q}} x_{i_{1}}^{r_{1}-1} \cdots x_{i_{t}}^{r_{t}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where all $\rho_{j_{k}} \npreceq \sigma$, so $x_{j_{k}} \notin\left\{x_{i_{1}}, \ldots, x_{i_{t}}\right\}$. Applying the Lemma for all $x_{i_{k}}$ with $r_{k}=r_{1}$, we reduce the largest exponent to $r_{1}-1$. By induction, we obtain an expression of $x_{i_{1}}^{r_{1}} \cdots x_{i_{t}}^{r_{t}}$ as a linear combination of square-free monomials of the same degree. Since $\mathcal{I}$ and $\mathcal{J}$ are homogeneous ideals, the standard grading of $\mathbb{Z}\left[X_{1}, \ldots, X_{r}\right]$ induces the desired grading on $\mathcal{R}(\Sigma)$.

Remark 2.12. If $\Sigma$ is only simplicial, the same decomposition into subgroups generated by square-free monomials of the same degree holds for $\mathcal{R}_{\mathrm{Q}}(\Sigma)$, by applying the simplicial version of Lemma 2.9 mentioned in Remark 2.10.

### 2.3 Products of Fans

At this point, we make a digression from our way to a linear basis of $\mathcal{R}(\Sigma)$ to study combinatorial Chow rings of products of fans. The connections between combinatorial Chow rings and Stanley-Reisner rings and between products of simplicial fans and
joins of abstract simplicial complexes suggest, how the combinatorial Chow ring should behave under taking products. We use this as a sanity check for our general definition of combinatorial Chow rings.

Definition 2.13. Let $\Sigma_{1}, \Sigma_{2}$ be fans in $\left(N_{1}\right)_{\mathbb{R}}$ and $\left(N_{2}\right)_{\mathbb{R}}$, respectively. The product fan $\Sigma_{1} \times \Sigma_{2}$ is the fan in $\left(N_{1}\right)_{\mathbb{R}} \times\left(N_{2}\right)_{\mathbb{R}}=\left(N_{1} \times N_{2}\right)_{\mathbb{R}}$ with cones $\sigma_{1} \times \sigma_{2}$ for $\sigma_{1} \in \Sigma_{1}$ and $\sigma_{2} \in \Sigma_{2}$.

Assuming $\Sigma_{1}$ and $\Sigma_{2}$ are simplicial, we can translate the product construction to the associated abstract simplicial complexes. We see that

$$
\Delta\left(\Sigma_{1} \times \Sigma_{2}\right)=\left\{R \cup S \subseteq V_{\Sigma_{1}} \cup V_{\Sigma_{2}} \mid R \in \Delta\left(\Sigma_{1}\right), S \in \Delta\left(\Sigma_{2}\right)\right\}=\Delta\left(\Sigma_{1}\right) * \Delta\left(\Sigma_{2}\right),
$$

which is the join of the abstract simplicial complexes $\Delta\left(\Sigma_{1}\right)$ and $\Delta\left(\Sigma_{2}\right)$. For StanleyReisner rings it holds that $k\left[\Delta_{1} * \Delta_{2}\right]=k\left[\Delta_{1}\right] \otimes_{k} k\left[\Delta_{2}\right]$, thus it is to be expected that $\mathcal{R}\left(\Sigma_{1} \times \Sigma_{2}\right)$ behaves similarly.

Proposition 2.14. Let $\Sigma_{1}, \Sigma_{2}$ be simplicial fans in $\left(N_{1}\right)_{\mathbb{R}}$ and $\left(N_{2}\right)_{\mathbb{R}}$. There is a natural ring isomorphism $\mathcal{R}\left(\Sigma_{1} \times \Sigma_{2}\right) \cong \mathcal{R}\left(\Sigma_{1}\right) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{R}\left(\Sigma_{2}\right)$.

Proof. Let $\rho_{1}, \ldots, \rho_{r_{1}}$ be the rays of $\Sigma_{1}$ with minimal generators $u_{1}, \ldots, u_{r_{1}}$ and $\tau_{1}, \ldots, \tau_{r_{2}}$ the rays of $\Sigma_{2}$ with minimal generators $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{r_{2}}$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{R}\left(\Sigma_{1}\right)=\mathbb{Z}\left[X_{1}, \ldots, X_{r_{1}}\right] /\left(\mathcal{I}_{1}+\mathcal{J}_{1}\right), \\
& \mathcal{R}\left(\Sigma_{2}\right)=\mathbb{Z}\left[Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{r_{2}}\right] /\left(\mathcal{I}_{2}+\mathcal{J}_{2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The tensor product $\mathcal{R}\left(\Sigma_{1}\right) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{R}\left(\Sigma_{2}\right)$ is naturally isomorphic to the quotient of the polynomial ring $\mathbb{Z}\left[X_{1}, \ldots, X_{r_{1}}, Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{r_{2}}\right]$ by the corresponding ideal extension $\mathcal{I}_{1}+$ $\mathcal{J}_{1}+\mathcal{I}_{2}+\mathcal{J}_{2}$.

The rays of $\Sigma_{1} \times \Sigma_{2}$ are $\rho_{i} \times\{0\}$ for $i=1, \ldots, r_{1}$ and $\{0\} \times \tau_{j}$ for $j=1, \ldots, r_{2}$, so

$$
\mathcal{R}\left(\Sigma_{1} \times \Sigma_{2}\right)=\mathbb{Z}\left[X_{1}, \ldots, X_{r_{1}}, Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{r_{2}}\right] /(\mathcal{I}+\mathcal{J})
$$

Thus, all we need to verify is $\mathcal{I}+\mathcal{J}=\mathcal{I}_{1}+\mathcal{J}_{1}+\mathcal{I}_{2}+\mathcal{J}_{2}$. Let $P_{\sigma_{1} \times \sigma_{2}} \in \mathcal{I}$, so that $\sigma_{1} \times \sigma_{2} \notin \Sigma_{1} \times \Sigma_{2}$. This only happens when $\sigma_{1} \notin \Sigma_{1}$ or $\sigma_{2} \notin \Sigma_{2}$. Without loss of
generality, assume $\sigma_{1} \notin \Sigma_{1}$ so that $P_{\sigma_{1}} \in \mathcal{I}_{1}$. Since $P_{\sigma_{1} \times \sigma_{2}}=P_{\sigma_{1}} P_{\sigma_{2}}$, it follows that $P_{\sigma_{1} \times \sigma_{2}} \in \mathcal{I}_{1}$. Let $\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right) \in M_{1} \times M_{2}$ give a generator of $\mathcal{J}$. We have

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{r_{1}}\left\langle\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right),\left(u_{1}, 0\right)\right\rangle X_{i}+\sum_{j=1}^{r_{2}}\left\langle\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right),\left(0, u_{2}\right)\right\rangle Y_{j}=\underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^{r_{1}}\left\langle m_{1}, u_{1}\right\rangle X_{i}}_{\in \mathcal{J}_{1}}+\underbrace{\sum_{j=1}^{r_{2}}\left\langle m_{2}, u_{2}\right\rangle Y_{r}}_{\in \mathcal{J}_{2}}
$$

Therefore, we have shown that $\mathcal{I}+\mathcal{J} \subseteq \mathcal{I}_{1}+\mathcal{J}_{1}+\mathcal{I}_{2}+\mathcal{J}_{2}$. For the other inclusion, we notice that $P_{\sigma_{1}}=P_{\sigma_{1} \times\{0\}}$, so that $\mathcal{I}_{1} \subseteq \mathcal{I}$ since $\sigma_{1} \notin \Sigma_{1}$ implies $\sigma_{1} \times\{0\} \notin \Sigma_{1} \times \Sigma_{2}$. By the same argument $\mathcal{I}_{2} \subseteq \mathcal{I}$. From the equation above we see that $\mathcal{J}_{1}, \mathcal{J}_{2} \subseteq \mathcal{J}$ by letting $m_{2}=0$ and $m_{1}=0$, respectively.

### 2.4 Shellability

The property of $\Sigma$ that will make our construction of a linear basis for $\mathcal{R}(\Sigma)$ work is the notion of shellability. We define shellability of abstract simplicial complexes as in [BW96] and note an important lemma that characterizes shellability by a way of partitioning the complex.

For any sets $A \subseteq B$ in an abstract simplicial complex, the Boolean interval from $A$ to $B$ is the set of all $C$ such that $A \subseteq C \subseteq B$, denoted $[A, B]$. For any set $A$ we define $\bar{A}=[\varnothing, A]$.

Definition 2.15. An abstract simplicial complex $\Delta$ is shellable if its facets can be arranged in a linear order $F_{1}, F_{2}, \ldots F_{t}$, such that the subcomplex $\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{k-1} \bar{F}_{i}\right) \cap \bar{F}_{k}$ is pure of dimension $\operatorname{dim} F_{k}-1$ for all $k=2, \ldots, t$. An ordering of the facets satisfying this condition is called a shelling or shelling order of $\Delta$.

The restriction map $R:\left\{F_{1}, F_{2}, \ldots, F_{n}\right\} \rightarrow \Delta$ is defined by

$$
R\left(F_{k}\right)=\left\{v \in F_{k} \mid F_{k} \backslash\{v\} \in \bigcup_{i=1}^{k-1} \bar{F}_{i}\right\} .
$$

Björner and Wachs showed that shellability is equivalent to being able to partition the complex into Boolean intervals, where the partition has to satisfy an additional ordering
condition. Abstract simplicial complex that allow a partition into Boolean intervals are called partitionable. Thus, every shellable complex is partitionable, but the converse does not hold.

Proposition 2.16 ([BW96, Proposition 2.5]). Let $F_{1}, F_{2}, \ldots, F_{t}$ be an ordering of the facets of an abstract simplicial complex $\Delta$ and $R:\left\{F_{1}, F_{2}, \ldots, F_{t}\right\} \rightarrow \Delta$ a map. Then $F_{1}, F_{2}, \ldots, F_{t}$ is a shelling with restriction map $R$ if and only if $\Delta=\biguplus_{i=1}^{t}\left[R\left(F_{i}\right), F_{i}\right]$ and $R\left(F_{i}\right) \subseteq F_{j}$ implies $i \leq j$ for all $i, j$.

We will refer to the partition $\Delta=\bigcup_{i=1}^{t}\left[R\left(F_{i}\right), F_{i}\right]$ induced by a shelling of $\Delta$ with restriction map $R$ as a shelling partition.

The notion of shellability can be directly transferred to simplicial fans.
Definition 2.17. A simplicial fan $\Sigma$ in $N_{\mathbb{R}}$ is shellable if the associated abstract simplicial complex $\Delta(\Sigma)$ is shellable. An ordering of the maximal cones of $\Sigma$ inducing a shelling of $\Delta(\Sigma)$ is a shelling of $\Sigma$.

### 2.5 Linear Generators in the Shellable Case

We now know all the properties of the combinatorial Chow ring and shellability that allow us to formulate a linear generating set of $\mathcal{R}(\Sigma)$ that is the candidate for the linear basis we are looking for.

Theorem 2.18. Let $\Sigma$ be a smooth shellable fan in $N_{\mathbb{R}}$ with shelling order $\sigma_{1}, \ldots, \sigma_{t}$. Then the monomials $p_{R\left(\sigma_{i}\right)}$ belonging to the restrictions of the $\sigma_{i}$ generate $\mathcal{R}(\Sigma)$ as an abelian group.

Proof. Let $\sigma_{1} \ldots, \sigma_{t}$ be a shelling order of $\Sigma$. By Proposition 2.16 we have

$$
\Sigma=\bigcup_{i=1}^{t}\left[R\left(\sigma_{i}\right), \sigma_{i}\right], \quad \text { such that } R\left(\sigma_{i}\right) \preceq \sigma_{j} \text { implies } i \leq j,
$$

where $[\tau, \sigma]$ denotes the set of all cones $\sigma^{\prime}$ with $\tau \preceq \sigma^{\prime} \preceq \sigma$.
We will use backwards induction on the shelling order to show that every $p_{\sigma}$ with $\sigma \in\left[R\left(\sigma_{i}\right), \sigma_{i}\right]$ can be expressed as a linear combination of the restrictions $p_{R\left(\sigma_{j}\right)}$ for $j \geq i$.

For $i=t$ consider $R\left(\sigma_{t}\right) \prec \sigma \preceq \sigma_{t}$. Applying Lemma 2.9 we have

$$
p_{\sigma}=c_{1} p_{\widetilde{\sigma}_{1}}+\cdots+c_{q} p_{\widetilde{\sigma}_{q}}
$$

where $R\left(\sigma_{t}\right) \prec \widetilde{\sigma}_{j} \npreceq \sigma_{t}$ for $j=1, \ldots, q$. Since $\left[R\left(\sigma_{t}\right), \sigma_{t}\right]$ is the last interval in the shelling of $\Sigma$, there are no cones above $R\left(\sigma_{t}\right)$, that aren't faces of $\sigma_{t}$, thus $p_{\sigma}=0$.

For $i<l$ and $R\left(\sigma_{i}\right) \prec \sigma \preceq \sigma_{i}$, we apply the shifting lemma to obtain $p_{\sigma}$ as a linear combination of some $p_{\widetilde{\sigma}_{j}}$ with $R\left(\sigma_{i}\right) \prec \widetilde{\sigma}_{j} \npreceq \sigma_{i}$. Every $\widetilde{\sigma}_{j}$ is contained in an interval [ $\left.R\left(\sigma_{k}\right), \sigma_{k}\right]$ for some $k>i$, since $R\left(\sigma_{i}\right) \prec \widetilde{\sigma}_{j} \preceq \sigma_{k}$ and $\widetilde{\sigma}_{j} \notin\left[R\left(\sigma_{i}\right), \sigma_{i}\right]$. Thus, by induction, all $p_{\widetilde{\sigma}_{j}}$ are linear combinations of monomials given by restrictions.

After $t$ steps we reach $i=1$ which finishes the proof, since all intervals have been covered.

Example 2.19. Consider the fan $\Sigma$ in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ with $X_{\Sigma} \cong \mathbb{C P}^{2}$ from Example 1.32. The ray generators of $\Sigma$ are $u_{1}=e_{1}, u_{2}=e_{2}$ and $u_{3}=-e_{1}-e_{2}$. Identifying cones in $\Sigma$ with subsets of $\{1,2,3\}$, we obtain the face poset of $\Sigma$ as shown in Figure 2.1.


Figure 2.1: The face poset of $\Sigma$ for $X_{\Sigma} \cong \mathbb{C P}^{2}$ with shelling partition.

The combinatorial Chow ring of $\Sigma$ is obtained as

$$
\mathcal{R}(\Sigma)=\mathbb{Z}\left[X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{3}\right] /\left\langle X_{1} X_{2} X_{3}, X_{2}-X_{3}, X_{1}-X_{3}\right\rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}[X] /\left\langle X^{3}\right\rangle .
$$

As seen in Figure 2.1, the fan is shellable with shelling order 12, 13, 23. The restrictions are $R(12)=\varnothing, R(13)=3$ and $R(23)=23$ with corresponding monomials $p_{\varnothing}=1$,
$p_{3}=x$ and $p_{23}=x^{2}$. We see that $\left(1, x, x^{2}\right)$ linearly generate $\mathcal{R}(\Sigma)$. In fact, we found a linear basis of the combinatorial Chow ring. It is no coincidence that $\mathbb{Z}[X] /\left\langle X^{3}\right\rangle$ is also the cohomology ring $H^{*}\left(\mathbb{C P}^{2}\right)$. We will come back to this connection in Chapter 3 . $\diamond$

Up to dimension 1 we can prove that, as long as $\Sigma$ is $n$-pure, the linear generators given by Theorem 2.18 are linearly independent, as noticed in Example 2.19.

Proposition 2.20. The monomials $p_{R\left(\sigma_{i}\right)}$ belonging to the s-dimensional restrictions of the cones in a shelling order of a smooth, n-pure fan $\Sigma$ in $N_{\mathbb{R}} \cong \mathbb{R}^{n}$ form a linear basis of $\mathcal{R}^{(s)}(\Sigma)$ for $s=0,1$.

Proof. The only cone of dimension 0 is $\{0\}=R\left(\sigma_{1}\right)$. We have $p_{\{0\}}=1 \neq 0$ and $k \cdot 1 \neq 0$ in $\mathcal{R}(\Sigma)$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, since there are no relations in degree 0 . Hence, $\mathcal{R}^{(0)}(\Sigma)=\mathbb{Z}$ generated by $p_{R\left(\sigma_{1}\right)}$.

For $s=1$ order the rays so that $\sigma_{1}=\operatorname{Cone}\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right)$, since $\Sigma$ is $n$-pure. Then $\rho_{n+1}, \ldots, \rho_{r}$ are all the restrictions of dimension 1. Every linear relation in $\mathcal{R}(\Sigma)$ is of the form

$$
\left\langle m, u_{1}\right\rangle x_{1}+\cdots+\left\langle m, u_{r}\right\rangle x_{r}=0
$$

for some $m \in M$, since sums and integral multiples of the relations in $\mathcal{J}$ are obtained by sums and integral multiples of the corresponding $m \in M$. Thus, if there is a linear relation involving only $x_{n+1}, \ldots, x_{r}$, it is given by an $m \in M$ such that $\left\langle m, u_{i}\right\rangle=0$ for $i=1, \ldots, n$. Since $\sigma_{1}$ is smooth, the $u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}$ form a $\mathbb{Z}$-basis of $N$, so $m=0$ and the linear combination was trivial.

Remark 2.21. Again, the results of Theorem 2.18 and Proposition 2.20 still hold for $\mathcal{R}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\Sigma)$ when $\Sigma$ is only simplicial.

We strongly believe that this linear independence holds in higher dimensions as well, assuming $\Sigma$ is a smooth, shellable, $n$-pure fan. In fact, we know it does when $\Sigma$ is complete, as will be discussed in Section 3.2. From the algebraic point of view we took in this chapter, we formulate the following conjecture.

Conjecture 2.22. Let $\Sigma$ be a smooth, shellable, n-pure fan in $N_{\mathbb{R}}$ with shelling order $\sigma_{1}, \ldots, \sigma_{t}$. Then the monomials $p_{R\left(\sigma_{i}\right)}$ belonging to the restrictions of the $\sigma_{i}$ form a linear basis of $\mathcal{R}(\Sigma)$. The same holds for $\mathcal{R}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\Sigma)$ when $\Sigma$ is only simplicial.

We finish the chapter by discussing two more examples: A simplicial shellable fan, that is neither smooth nor complete to check the linear basis for $\mathcal{R}_{Q}(\Sigma)$, and a smooth non-shellable fan, where we can still find a basis of the combinatorial Chow ring by explicit computation.

Example 2.23. Let $N=\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ and $\Sigma$ be the fan in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ given by the three maximal cones $\sigma_{1}=\operatorname{Cone}\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right), \sigma_{2}=\operatorname{Cone}\left(e_{2}, e_{2}-e_{1}\right), \sigma_{3}=\operatorname{Cone}\left(e_{2}-e_{1},-e_{1}-e_{2}\right)$, as shown in Figure 2.2. Note that $\sigma_{3}$ is not smooth, since $e_{2}-e_{1},-e_{1}-e_{2}$ do not generate $M$.


Figure 2.2: The non-smooth, non-complete, simplicial shellable fan $\Sigma$ and its face poset.

Identifying cones in $\Sigma$ with subsets of $\{1,2,3,4\}$ corresponding to the rays given by $e_{1}$, $e_{2}, e_{2}-e_{1}$ and $-e_{1}-e_{2}$, we find the ordering $\sigma_{1}=12, \sigma_{2}=23, \sigma_{3}=34$ is a shelling order with restrictions $\varnothing, 3,4$, as seen in Figure 2.2.

The combinatorial Chow ring is obtained as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{R}(\Sigma) & =\frac{\mathbb{Z}\left[X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{3}, X_{4}\right]}{\left\langle X_{1} X_{3}, X_{1} X_{4}, X_{2} X_{4}, X_{1}-X_{3}-X_{4}, X_{2}+X_{3}-X_{4}\right\rangle} \\
& \cong \frac{\mathbb{Z}\left[X_{3}, X_{4}\right]}{\left\langle X_{3}^{2}+X_{3} X_{4}, X_{3} X_{4}+X_{4}^{2}, X_{4}^{2}-X_{3} X_{4}\right\rangle} \\
& \cong \frac{\mathbb{Z}\left[X_{3}, X_{4}\right]}{\left\langle X_{3}^{2}-X_{4}^{2}, 2 X_{4}^{2}, X_{4}^{2}-X_{3} X_{4}\right\rangle}
\end{aligned}
$$

We see that $x_{3}{ }^{2}=x_{4}{ }^{2}=x_{3} x_{4} \neq 0$, but $2 x_{4}{ }^{2}=0$ in $\mathcal{R}(\Sigma)$. In particular, the monomials $1, x_{3}$ and $x_{4}$ belonging to the restrictions of the shelling do not generate $\mathcal{R}(\Sigma)$ linearly,
since $\mathcal{R}^{(2)}(\Sigma) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{2} \neq 0$. However, in $\mathcal{R}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\Sigma)$ we get $x_{4}{ }^{2}=0$ after division by 2 , hence all degree 2 monomials vanish and $\mathcal{R}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\Sigma)=\left\langle 1, x_{3}, x_{4}\right\rangle$ has the desired linear basis. $\diamond$
Example 2.24. Let $N=\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ and $\Sigma$ be the smooth fan in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ given by the maximal cones $\sigma_{1}=\operatorname{Cone}\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right), \sigma_{2}=\operatorname{Cone}\left(-e_{1},-e_{2}\right)$, as shown in Figure 2.3, together with the non-shellable poset obtained by identifying cones in $\Sigma$ with subsets of $\{1,2,3,4\}$ corresponding to the rays given by $e_{1}, e_{2},-e_{1}$ and $-e_{2}$.


Figure 2.3: The non-shellable, non-complete, smooth fan $\Sigma$ and its face poset.

The combinatorial Chow ring is obtained as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{R}(\Sigma) & =\frac{\mathbb{Z}\left[X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{3}, X_{4}\right]}{\left\langle X_{1} X_{3}, X_{1} X_{4}, X_{2} X_{3}, X_{2} X_{4}, X_{1}-X_{3}, X_{2}-X_{4}\right\rangle} \\
& \cong \frac{\mathbb{Z}\left[X_{1}, X_{2}\right]}{\left\langle X_{1}^{2}, X_{1} X_{2}, X_{2}^{2}\right\rangle^{2}},
\end{aligned}
$$

so all monomials of degree 2 vanish and the monomials $1, x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$ form a linear basis of $\mathcal{R}(\Sigma)$. Note that we need three generators, despite the fact that $\Sigma$ has only two maximal cones.

As discussed in [BM98], the face poset of $\Sigma$ is the minimal example of a non-shellable poset. It is a "witness to non-shellability" in the sense that it is contained in every non-shellable poset as an induced subposet. See [Wac97] for this and a more general discussion of obstructions to shellability.

## 3 Context in Toric Geometry

In this final chapter we want to go back to toric geometry and discuss the connection of our results on the combinatorial Chow ring $\mathcal{R}(\Sigma)$ from Chapter 2 to topological features of the associated toric variety $X_{\Sigma}$.

### 3.1 Fulton's Condition is Shellability

In [Ful93, Section 5.2] Fulton establishes a linear basis for the homology groups of a complete smooth toric variety $X_{\triangle}$ whose underlying fan $\triangle$ satisfies a certain combinatorial condition.

For any ordering $\sigma_{1}, \ldots, \sigma_{m}$ of the top-dimensional cones, define a sequence of subcones $\tau_{i} \subset \sigma_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq m$, by letting $\tau_{i}$ be the intersection of $\sigma_{i}$ with all those $\sigma_{j}$ that come after $\sigma_{i}$ (i.e., with $j>i$ ) and that meet $\sigma_{i}$ in a cone of dimension $n-1$. [...] In particular, $\tau_{1}=\{0\}$, and $\tau_{m}=\sigma_{m}$. The key assumption that will make this work is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { If } \tau_{i} \text { is contained in } \sigma_{j} \text {, then } i \leq j . \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

We notice that this condition is half of what we need to identify the ordering as a shelling with restriction map $R\left(\sigma_{i}\right)=\tau_{i}$ by Proposition 2.16. In fact, Fulton goes on and proves the following lemma from ( $*$ ).

Lemma. (a) For each cone $\gamma$ in $\triangle$ there is a unique $i=i(\gamma)$ such that $\tau_{i} \subset \gamma \subset \sigma_{i}$.
In fact, $i(\gamma)$ is the smallest integer $i$ such that $\sigma_{i}$ contains $\gamma$.
(b) If $\gamma$ is a face of $\gamma^{\prime}$, then $i(\gamma) \leq i\left(\gamma^{\prime}\right)$.

This is exactly $\Delta=\cup_{i=1}^{m}\left[\tau_{i}, \sigma_{i}\right]$. Thus, Fulton's lemma shows shellability of $\triangle$.

### 3.2 Homology, Cohomology and Chow Rings

Besides the combinatorial Chow ring discussed in Chapter 2, every algebraic variety $X$ comes with algebro-geometric Chow groups $A_{*}(X)$. For every $k \geq 0$ the group $A_{k}(X)$ is defined as the quotient of the free abelian group generated by the $k$-dimensional irreducible closed subvarieties of $X$ modulo rational equivalence (see [Ful98]). As usual, these groups are put together in an abelian group $A_{*}(X)=\bigoplus_{k=0}^{\operatorname{dim}^{X}} A_{k}(X)$.

Reading carefully through the proofs in [Ful93, Section 5.2], we verified that after proving the previous lemma, Fulton never uses (*) again. Hence, we can extract the following theorem.

Theorem 3.1 ([Fu193, p. 102, p. 104]). If $\Sigma$ is a complete smooth shellable fan with shelling order $\sigma_{1}, \ldots, \sigma_{t}$, the classes $\left[V\left(R\left(\sigma_{i}\right)\right)\right]$ form a basis for $A_{*}\left(X_{\Sigma}\right) \cong H_{*}\left(X_{\Sigma}\right)$. If $\Sigma$ is only simplicial, the same is true for $A_{*}(X)_{\mathrm{Q}} \cong H_{*}\left(X_{\Sigma} ; \mathbb{Q}\right)$.

Here $A_{*}(X)_{\mathbf{Q}}=A_{*}(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ and $V\left(R\left(\sigma_{i}\right)\right)$ is a subvariety of $X_{\Sigma}$ corresponding to the cone $R\left(\sigma_{i}\right)$ called the orbit closure. For a discussion on the correspondence between subvarieties of $X_{\Sigma}$ and cones in $\Sigma$, see the section on the orbit-cone correspondence in [CLS11, § 3.2].

By letting $A^{k}\left(X_{\Sigma}\right)=A_{n-k}\left(X_{\Sigma}\right)$ we obtain a graded ring $A^{*}\left(X_{\Sigma}\right)=\oplus_{k} A^{k}(X)$ equipped with the intersection product, see [Ful93, Section 5.1] or [Dan78, Section 10.7]. Since $X_{\Sigma}$ is a smooth orbifold when $\Sigma$ is complete smooth, we can use Poincaré duality, so $H^{2 k}\left(X_{\Sigma}\right) \cong H_{2 n-2 k}\left(X_{\Sigma}\right)$ as abelian groups. When $\Sigma$ is only simplicial, $X_{\Sigma}$ is still rationally smooth, so Poincaré duality holds over $Q$, see [CLS11, § 12.4]. Thus, the basis of homology in Theorem 3.1 is also a linear basis of the cohomology ring. This is where the combinatorial Chow ring enters the stage. Danilov proved the following Theorem in [Dan78].

Proposition 3.2 ([Dan78, Theorem 10.8]). If $\Sigma$ is a complete smooth fan, we have ring isomorphisms $A^{*}\left(X_{\Sigma}\right) \cong H^{*}\left(X_{\Sigma}\right) \cong \mathcal{R}(\Sigma)$. If $\Sigma$ is only simplicial, we have $A^{*}\left(X_{\Sigma}\right)_{\mathrm{Q}} \cong$ $H^{*}\left(X_{\Sigma} ; Q\right) \cong \mathcal{R}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\Sigma)$.

This implies that Conjecture 2.22 holds when $\Sigma$ is assumed to be a complete smooth, respectively simplicial, shellable fan. The idea that motivated the conjecture was to prove
the basis theorem directly from the combinatorics of $\Sigma$, without involving intersection theory on $X_{\Sigma}$. We expect that such an algebraic prove would not depend on $\Sigma$ being complete, so we weakened the assumption to $n$-pureness. The fact that this works out in dimension 1 is another hint that the conjecture might be true. Note that even if the conjecture is true, we do not get a cohomology basis for $X_{\Sigma}$, since the isomorphism $H^{*}\left(X_{\Sigma}\right) \cong \mathcal{R}(\Sigma)$ depends on the completeness of $\Sigma$. To stress this point, we come back to the non-complete fan from Example 2.24.
Example 2.24 (continuing from p.36). The fan $\Sigma$ in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ with maximal cones the two quadrants in Figure 2.3 is smooth, 2-pure but not complete. If the isomorphism from Proposition 3.2 would hold, we would expect $H^{3}\left(X_{\Sigma}\right)=0$, since the isomorphism $\mathcal{R}(\Sigma) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^{*}\left(X_{\Sigma}\right)$ doubles the degree. The two maximal cones of $\Sigma$ yield two copies of $\mathbb{C}^{2}$ as affine charts. They are glued along an inclusion of $\left(\mathbb{C}^{*}\right)^{2}$ that identifies $(x, y)$ in one copy with $\left(x^{-1}, y^{-1}\right)$ in the other copy, whenever $x, y \neq 0$. From this description we obtain a Mayer-Vietoris exact sequence for cohomology groups, in particular
$\cdots \longrightarrow H^{2}\left(\mathbb{C}^{2}\right) \oplus H^{2}\left(\mathbb{C}^{2}\right) \longrightarrow H^{2}\left(\left(\mathbb{C}^{*}\right)^{2}\right) \longrightarrow H^{3}\left(X_{\Sigma}\right) \longrightarrow H^{3}\left(\mathbb{C}^{2}\right) \oplus H^{3}\left(\mathbb{C}^{2}\right) \longrightarrow \cdots$.
Since $\mathbb{C}^{2}$ is contractible we get an isomorphism $H^{3}\left(X_{\Sigma}\right) \cong H^{2}\left(\left(\mathbb{C}^{*}\right)^{2}\right)$. Now $\left(\mathbb{C}^{*}\right)^{2}$ has the homotopy type of the torus $S^{1} \times S^{1}$, so $H^{2}\left(\left(\mathbb{C}^{*}\right)^{2}\right) \cong \mathbb{Z}$. We conclude that $H^{3}\left(X_{\Sigma}\right)$ is non-zero, so the combinatorial Chow ring is not isomorphic to the cohomology ring. $\diamond$
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